

From: pharrington@
To: rdown@
Subject: Re: Schedule Reserve
Date: Wednesday, September 5, 2012 8:02:27 PM

No that's ok I get it
No need for further discussions on this right now

Paul Harrington

On 2012-09-05, at 4:34 PM, rdown@ wrote:

> Paul,..... On quiet reflection, and reviewing further your e-mails, it seems that there are varying perceptions of the role of the IPR team.

> Maybe it would be helpful if we had a chat.

> Let me know a good time to call you on your home number.

> Derek

> -----Original Message-----

> To: pharrington@

> Subject: Re: Schedule Reserve

> Sent: Sep 4, 2012 17:20

>

> Paul,.....I left you a voice mail message on your office phone, assuming you would be in the office.

> I do not consider the IPR team need to be involved with, or should comment on, any Project follow up actions resulting from the IPR key messages. Unless, of course, the IPR team is re-commissioned for that purpose. The Charter covers only the work made available during the review period. The report is so worded that the Project has full latitude to take the key messages and action them in accordance with the Project Plans and procedures.

> Regards Derek

>

> -----Original Message-----

> From: pharrington@

> To: Derek Owen

> Subject: Re: Schedule Reserve

> Sent: Sep 4, 2012 16:39

>

> Derek I may have missed your call today, I have been tied up on preparations for the MHI visit tomorrow and the rest of the week. If we need to get folks together to talk about the characterization of schedule reserve for MF and LIL then let's do that. Ed and Gilbert are on board with this and understand that the target schedule is just that and something that has low probability (jason is having the schedule analysis updated) but something we motivate the project team to achieve knowing that we have float or reserve in our pocket. If we let the actual need date out we lose that leverage and motivation opportunity Let me know how we can advance this discussion. I may not be able to attend but can get the right people to attend Paul Harrington On 2012-09-03, at 2:43 PM, Derek Owen <rdown@> wrote: I will review and call Tuesday. Regards, Derek -- R. Derek Owen, President, RDO Consulting Ltd. Cel 709 214 rdown@ The information in this message is intended only for the person(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain private or confidential information. If you receive this message in error, please contact the sender immediately and promptly delete the message. On 9/3/12 12:32 PM, " Paul Harrington" <harrington@> wrote: Please find attached two attachments 1 Schedule reserve deck – where we explain the Nalcor position regarding how we intend to represent the schedule reserve- also note Ed Martins article in the Globe and mail today wherein he states that power will be flowing from Labrador to the Island in 2017. That is consistent with the messaging so far which will continue – we can bring power into the Island via LTA and LIL without the need for MF initially. 2 We are proposing some wording for the draft IPR report (slides 13 and 40) following our meeting later on Friday with Ed which we believe does not change the substance of the first draft and the messages it contained it simply uses language that could not be taken out of context and easily

used in a negative sense. I know that was not the intent but we exist in a climate where words can be twisted and used in a manner that was not what the writer meant, So please review with Dick and see if we can agree on the final wording for this and item 1 above. Regards Paul Lets talk on Tuesday – I am heading out for a hike now

> Sent wirelessly from my BlackBerry device on the Bell network.

> Envoyé sans fil par mon terminal mobile BlackBerry sur le réseau de Bell.