

Wesley Hawe

From: Sam Banfield <sbanfield@pub.nl.ca>
Sent: Monday, July 4, 2011 10:36 AM
To: mgreene@pub.nl.ca; fmartin@pub.nl.ca
Subject: RE: Muskrat Falls Review

Good to hear from you. I'm replying as Fred is busy dealing with Nalcor. We have received a limited number of documents and I'd estimate somewhere around 10% or less of what we had requested. I say that because as an example the load forecast sheets are meaningless without the detailed assumptions that go into producing the numbers and other information such as the feasibility studies are dated with no updated information.

Fred has had a number of discussions with Geoff concerning when more information will be forthcoming and from what Geoff is saying it appears that the information we requested is not likely in a concise format. For instance the load forecast might be a number of excel spreadsheets on a computer in Hydro and not in a single document. If this is the case then review by a consultant will have its challenges. Fred is still in discussion with Geoff and there may be more to report on this later today.

MHI have responded to our clarification points and both Fred and myself are comfortable with getting them started.

Weather here has finally changed and as of late Friday we have had three, yes three whole days of sun and warm temperatures. Enjoy the rest of your time.

As soon as we have any further information one of us will update you.

-----Original Message-----

From: mgreene@pub.nl.ca [mailto:mgreene@pub.nl.ca]
Sent: Monday, July 04, 2011 9:20 AM
To: fmartin@pub.nl.ca; sbanfield@pub.nl.ca
Subject: Muskrat Falls Review

I see some more documents were filed this morning. How many do we now have compared to what we asked for?
Have you heard from Geoff when more are coming?
What is the status with MHI? Were their answers satisfactory?
Weather here has been great. How is it home?

Sent wirelessly from my BlackBerry device on the Bell network.
Envoyé sans fil par mon terminal mobile BlackBerry sur le réseau de Bell.