

**From:** DIANE DONNELLY <ddonnelly16@[REDACTED]>  
**Sent:** Wednesday, February 22, 2012 1:56 PM  
**To:** Paul Wilson <plwilson@mhi.ca>  
**Cc:** Allen Snyder (amsnyder@[REDACTED]); Mack Kast (mkast@[REDACTED])  
**Subject:** Re: MHI RFIs  
**Attach:** CA-KPL-MHI-3.docx; CA-KPL-MHI-4.docx; CA-KPL-MHI-5.docx; CA-KPL-MHI-8 [1].docx

---

I revised 3 & 4 and left 5 & 8 unchanged. Although I do not think we have answered 8c correctly. They are not asking if we analyzed CDM effects, they are asking why we didn't analyze CDM effects.

From my standpoint, CDM was not analyzed as part of the Load Forecast Review because CDM was not included in the load forecast.

But they are asking why we didn't review Nalcor's process of evaluating CDM programs in some section of the overall report.

----- Original Message -----

From: Paul Wilson

Date: Wednesday, February 22, 2012 11:02 am

Subject: MHI RFIs

To: "Craig Kellas (ddonnelly16@[REDACTED])"

Cc: "Allen Snyder (amsnyder@[REDACTED])", "Mack Kast (mkast@[REDACTED])"

> Hi Craig, here are the reworded RFIs

>

>

> Regards,

>

> Paul Wilson, P. Eng.

> Managing Director, Subsidiary Operations

> Manitoba Hydro International Ltd.

> 211 Commerce Drive

> Winnipeg, MB R3P 1A3

> Canada

> P: +1 204 989-1271

> F: +1 204 475-7745

> M: +1 204 [REDACTED] 271

>

>