

From: Gerry Proteau <gproteau@[REDACTED]>
Sent: Tuesday, October 23, 2012 2:25 PM
To: Paul Wilson <plwilson@mhi.ca>
Subject: Nalcor
Attach: Exhibit 92 Summary.pdf

Paul, I trust your travels are going well.

Regarding Nalcor, I'm thinking Gilbert Bennett has dug into Nalcor Exhibit 92 (prepared in 2008) detailing some preliminary weather studies which depict the glaze and rime ice levels predicted at 50, 100, 150 yr return periods. I've attached it for your reference. This is what you were likely shown at the meeting. Most of the line agrees to the 50 yr. return periods, but in the regions in the high range mountains it can be shown they comply with higher return periods. This is something we've said in the report but have not attached a statement on the return period about it. The tables summarizing the loads for the high range mountain areas were made available in March 2012 –after the DG2 report and conversations about reliability. I suspect the DG2 report had some influence on the decision on the ice load levels here.

The fact remains that a consistent reliability period is not applied to the entire line. I'm thinking about some language to modify the report with this, if you hear from Gilbert let me know when you can. I'm very curious as what he's preparing.

Thanks,

Gerry