

Gull Island : Why not develop Gull Island first?

## Key Factors

For years the province has tried to proceed with the Gull Island development. Without transmission access through Quebec the project is not yet feasible. Gull Island's 2,250 megawatt (MW) generating capacity is far greater than Newfoundland and Labrador will require in the near future. Therefore, export sales through Quebec are essential for project success. These and other issues are considered in greater detail in this paper and are highlighted below:

- Inability to sell power through Quebec
- Since development of the Upper Churchill project, there have been various Lower Churchill Project configurations studied and commercial negotiations attempted without success.
- Gull Island represents a significant hydroelectric resource with 2,250 MW of generating capacity.
- The Ontario market is currently the best prospect for Gull Island exports. Ontario needs new sources of electricity and is in the midst of making important decisions about long-term supply. Gull Island power could be part of Ontario's supply if Quebec would allow fair access to its transmission.
- Domestic Newfoundland and Labrador load growth, as currently forecast, would not be sufficient to fully use all of Gull Island's output. There is potential for major expansion in Labrador mining that could consume a significant portion of Gull Island power, but that potential must progress to firm power purchase commitments in order to justify developing Gull Island. → sign. heat wet build
- Market force for Gull Island power (lowered Agreement Uncertainty), including transmission upgrades across multiple provinces
- NNL utilizes existing transmission through NS & NB & do not require significant upgrades

NN 4/12

①

Gulf Island paper

- I. 1 - Key factors → who franchises access through Quebec but not yet feasible  
 - 225 MW more than is needed in near future to exports through Quebec essential  
 - various have different configurations  
 - Ontario market currently no best support  
 - domestic NL load growth at sufficient to fully use Gulf  
 - potential for hydrocarbon energy must progress to firm power purchase commitment.

I. 2-5 - Introduction

- I. 2 - since 1972 every premier has considered one project or another  
 - 418M apart from 1972-2003  
 - Royal Commission's report paper by John Churchill in March 2003  
 I. 3 - Frank Moore's attempts  
 - LDC formed in 1978 (51% NL 49% Fed Govt)  
 - attempts by Elect Prod through Courts  
 - 92A → question w/ jurisdiction of electrical energy  
 I. 3 - Tobin's attempt in 1998  
 I. 4 - Grimes' deal in 2002  
 - Mr. MacLennan's comments about Grimes' deal and Quebec

- ~~I. 5~~ - Vic Young's 1980 recommendation to develop Muskrat Falls  
 - Grind Island's size (3x MF) has actually limited its potential  
 - project can only proceed if access to required long-term contracts  
 - Muskrat Falls involves → smaller generation capacity than it is  
 - economically supply review of long term power  
 - how export hub can purpose of project is to meet energy demands in NL

~~1.6~~ - GNL Island Project Overview

- GNL needs export market to be economic  $\rightarrow$  MF does not require external transmission to be an economic proposition

~~1.7~~ - Industrial load growth in Labrador  $\rightarrow$  if elv projects proceeded could increase Lab requirements by 900-1300 MW

- insufficient firm power requests by proponents to justify large new investment in GNL

22%

- only 22% of GNL power <sup>planned</sup> required by existing customers

~~1.8~~ - commitment from mining companies not firm enough  $\rightarrow$  significant over-build if Labrador mining loads were not to materialize

- transmission capacity required to export surplus power in netty 1 1500-2000 MW
- even in most optimistic forecast of 1200 MW by 2020 still Net 300-500 MW available export capacity  $\rightarrow$  requires 1<sup>st</sup> export route through Quebec

~~1.7~~ - Export Market Opportunities

~~1.9-10~~ - ITC Transmission System Access

- 765 MW of capacity at block was already being built or ITC might need no further additional capacity

~~1.8~~ - Nalcor's DATT applications before Septe

~~1.10-11~~ - Maritime Port Transmission Option w/ bankrolling

- $\hookrightarrow$  MF will utilize existing transmission through NS and NB which do not require significant upgrades to accommodate MF power

- if GNL system built transmission assets would need to be upgraded
- if yes
- NS market would require significant system upgrades to handle 1500-2000 MW
- further work required on transmission capacity b/w NS & NB
- transmission constraints associated w/ a Merit-based rate avoid Quebec for a GNL system project would represent an economic challenge.
- Merit-based rates involving GNL system has uncertainty of transmission rights upgrades across multiple jurisdictions.

~~10-11~~

Conclusion

- GNL system has ~~not~~ <sup>(uncertain)</sup> to determine if viability to obtain access through Quebec
- No transmission assets to export markets & economically viable to develop GNL
- External markets remain critical for project
- NL enough firm power requirements in Labrador
- if NLCOR developed GNL that firm for investments in new mining load did not materialize due to a downturn in commodity markets or other investment factors there would be a significant over-build
- even w/ significant new power requirement for Labrador market still need access to external markets through Quebec
- NL currently has 105 MW of capacity on its transmission system
- Merit-based rates for GNL involve significant uncertainty