

**From:** tomchudy@lowerchurchillproject.ca  
**Sent:** Tuesday, November 5, 2013 11:10 AM  
**To:** jamesmeaney@nalcoreenergy.com  
**Cc:** Jason Kean; Lance Clarke; Paul Harrington  
**Subject:** Re: Fw: MWH comments on Schedule Call

is there an agenda

**Tom Chudy**  
Project Controls  
**PROJECT DELIVERY TEAM**  
Lower Churchill Project  
t. 709 737-1285  
e. [TomChudy@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:TomChudy@lowerchurchillproject.ca)  
w. [muskratfalls.nalcoreenergy.com](http://muskratfalls.nalcoreenergy.com)

You owe it to yourself, and your family, to make it home safely every day. What have you done today so that nobody gets hurt?

James Meaney---11/05/2013 11:06:47 AM---See below. We don't have this email....it appears the call didn't get them over the hump...sounds l

**From:** James Meaney/NLHydro  
**To:** Jason Kean/NLHydro@nlhydro, Lance Clarke/NLHydro@nlhydro, Paul Harrington/NLHydro@nlhydro, Tom Chudy/NLHydro@nlhydro,  
**Date:** 11/05/2013 11:06 AM  
**Subject:** Fw: MWH comments on Schedule Call

---

See below. We don't have this email....it appears the call didn't get them over the hump...sounds like we need to address this further in the meeting tomorrow in St John's.

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.


**From:** Manzer, Alison

**Sent:** Monday, November 4, 2013 4:42 PM

**To:** jamesmeaney@nalconenergy.com

**Subject:** FW: LOWER CHURCHILL; NALCOR; PROJECT SCHEDULE

PLEASE HELP! can we orient to addressing this??



**From:** Reynold Hokenson [mailto:Reynold.A.Hokenson@mwhglobal.com]

**Sent:** Monday, November 04, 2013 3:41 PM

**To:** Newman, Charles; Manzer, Alison; Abudulai, Suhuyini

**Cc:** Celeste Christensen; James Loucks; Howard Lee; Nikolay Argirov; Mary Edwards; Nalcor Energy IE & O&M; Richard Howell

**Subject:** LOWER CHURCHILL; NALCOR; PROJECT SCHEDULE

As a result of our telephone conference call with Nalcor representatives today, we would like to share our current opinion of the project schedule with CBB and Government, as follows:

*MWH is currently disadvantaged to provide an accurate assessment of the LCP Integrated Project Schedule (IPS) in regards to the likelihood of achieving key milestone dates or the accuracy of the indicated critical path as it leads to a conclusion regarding the likelihood of achieving the targeted in-service date for the Project. We were able to express our general methodology and project specific concerns verbally to the Nalcor Project Controls team today in a lengthy and productive phone session. While we appreciated Nalcor's professional explanations to our questions and offers to submit additional information, our fundamental concerns surrounding the robustness and adequacy of the underlying scheduling methodology prevents us from opining further on the current IPS.*

We will be discussing this matter during our Wednesday meeting and consider it the highest priority to work on.

Regards,

Rey

November 4, 2013

---

This message, including any attachments, is privileged and may contain confidential information intended only for the person(s) named above. Any other distribution, copying or disclosure is strictly prohibited.

Communication by email is not a secure medium and, as part of the transmission process, this message may be copied to servers operated by third parties while in transit. Unless you advise us to the contrary, by accepting communications that may contain your personal information from us via email, you are deemed to provide your consent to our transmission of the contents of this message in this manner. If you are not the intended recipient