From: jamesmeaney@nalcorenergy.com

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2013 10:21 PM

To: Paul Harrington

Subject: Re: Did it go ok today with Canada and IE?

Hi Paul,

I think your assessment below is quite accurate. I have a list of about 9-10 follow-up items from the meeting. Will pass these on to you in the morning. With delivery of these items to CBB/BF/MWH in the next few days, and the discussion we had with Alison afterwards, we should be in good shape with the IE report. As usual, another solid effort all around from the Project team.

Thanks,

Jim

Sent from my BlackBerry 10 smartphone.

From: Paul Harrington

Sent: Wednesday, November 6, 2013 8:37 PM

To: James Meaney

Subject: Fwd: Did it go ok today with Canada and IE?

Jim

Is this how you would rate the day?

Sent from my iPad

Begin forwarded message:

From: "Paul Harrington" <PHarrington@lowerchurchillproject.ca>

Date: November 6, 2013 at 8:23:48 PM NST

To: "Ed Martin" < EMartin@nalcorenergy.com>

Cc: "Jason Kean" <JasonKean@lowerchurchillproject.ca>, "Paul Harrington"

<PHarrington@lowerchurchillproject.ca>, "Gilbert Bennett"

<GBennett@nalcorenergy.com>,"Lance Clarke"

<LanceClarke@lowerchurchillproject.ca>

Subject: Re: Did it go ok today with Canada and IE?

Ed

I would say that overall the result was a positive one. There were four aspects of that

1 technicalthis is an IE concern and we responded to all questions and I see no outstanding problems

2 planningthis is a concern of the IE and they displayed a lack of understanding of planning that was frankly embarrassing. I see no issues to wrap up any outstanding issues. This seems to be a matter of education of the IE team.

3 ASTALDI ... The IE is MWH and they are in my opinion conflicted in any views on ASTALDI because they have a dispute ongoing ...however Lance and Ron Power did a great job of putting our case forward and the Feds advisors Cassel Brock's lawyer/advisor told me she put no weight in what I E opinion.

4 cost .. Jason odd his usual excellent delivery of the facts and I understand that it was no issue

Bottom line is the Feds advisors clearly saw that the I E is not as knowledgeable as our team in all areas and they are fully supportive of our position and our PM abilities.

So I would say the result is very positive and the I E report conditions precedent is in the bag

Gilbert, Lance Jason ...do you agree?

Sent from my iPad

> On Nov 6, 2013, at 7:45 PM, "Ed Martin" < EMartin@nalcorenergy.com >
wrote:

>

>

> This Email was sent from a Blackberry wireless handheld. The Email, including attachments, is confidential and proprietary. If you are not the intended recipient, any redistribution or copying of this message is prohibited. If you have received this Email in error, please notify us immediately by return Email, and delete this Email message.