

From: jamesmeaney@lowerchurchillproject.ca
To: [Lance Clarke](#)
Subject: Re: Nik
Date: Saturday, March 19, 2016 7:05:44 PM

While I didn't specifically put it in my note, he was very adamant that in his mind GNL should not be giving any consideration to changing out Nalcor/LCP management. So on that basis, I would think that's a fair assumption.

Sent from my iPhone

On Mar 19, 2016, at 5:54 PM, Lance Clarke
<LanceClarke@lowerchurchillproject.ca> wrote:

I think Nik will recommend that FLG2 is a good move as long as the team is maintained. Thoughts?

Sent from my iPad

On Mar 18, 2016, at 9:29 PM, James Meaney
<JamesMeaney@lowerchurchillproject.ca> wrote:

I had a call from him this evening in response to an inquiry I'd made earlier today as to whether GNL had directly solicited his feedback on the EY report he was provided on Wednesday. Nik advised he had provided his comments to NRCan and that he understood they would be somehow conveyed by Anoop in a call with GNL. Anoop confirmed to me previously this call did in fact happen with Paul Morris from DNR yesterday. Nik gave me the lowdown on his comments. He was particularly unhappy about the negative tone of the EY report and the comments on governance and oversight, and thought it was really not helpful for the success of the Project. He also expressed to me a concern that a report of this nature or a situation where EY's involvement negatively impacts the Nalcor/LCP management team's ability to effectively execute the project, particularly the Astaldi situation, might not be very helpful for anyone in the context of the job he and the rest of the guarantor team (NRCan, CBB and BF) need to do relating to the \$2 billion FLG2 ask from the Province. He indicated they have been tasked with putting together a recommendation package for the Federal politicians and it was his understanding this had to be completed sometime in April.

Sent from my iPhone