# **Lower Churchill Project**

Engineering, Procurement and Construction Management (EPCM) Services Package LCP-G-002

# 5 EPCM Evaluation Plan

LCP-PT-ED-0000-MM-PL-0001-01, Rev. A1 "LCP-G-002 RFP Evaluation Plan for Engineering, Procurement and Construction Management (EPCM) Services"

#### Page 1



#### Page 2

#### <u>MEMO</u>

TO:	Paul Harrington
FROM:	Pat Hussey
SUBJECT:	Changes to the Evaluation Plan for EPCM Services – RFP LC-G-002
DATE:	20 October 2010

The purpose of this Memo is to formally advise you on the status of evaluation of RFP LC-G-002 and request approval regarding a recommended way forward with respect to finalization of the evaluation.

#### Change No. 1

As you are aware since the issue of the RFP on July 9, 2010 a decision has been made by the Gatekeeper to phase the development of the lower Churchill River, with the first phase, Phase I, being the development of Muskrat Falls generating facility and a smaller Island Link. As a result, we are recommending that the CET focus its attention on the evaluation of Muskrat Falls Scenario A only, consistent with the LCP Phase I development scenario. Therefore, for the purposes of selecting an EPCM consultant to support the development, we propose not to evaluate Muskrat Falls Development – Scenario B, Gull Island Development – Scenario A and B. Your concurrence on this item is requested.

#### Change No. 2

Upon review of the Component commercial scoring, prior to evaluation of the proposals, it has been determined that the distribution of the scoring for the total estimated cost (55 points) and hourly mark-ups (25 points) would result in a duplicate score. To maintain the score of 80 points for the total estimated cost it has been agreed to combine these individual scores.

#### Change No. 3

Subsequent to receipt of the Proposals, it has been determined that changes to the Core Evaluation Team (CET) are required in order to provide the level of functional expertise required to perform the proposal evaluations. Ken McClintock will be added to assist with the Commercial & Project Management / Overall Execution, while Lance Clarke will move from the Support Team to the CET. In addition, Johann Kroyer, who was mobilized from Iceland on 19 September 10 to participate in the evaluation, will demobilize early from the CET and support the team as required.

Change No. 4

Page 3

Changes to the Evaluation Plan for EPCM Services – RFP LC-G-002 20-October-2010

The LC-G-002 RFP Evaluation Plan for EPCM Services (document number LCP-PT-ED-0000-MM-PL-0001-01 Rev. A1) outlined the evaluation criteria, scoring method and the applicable percentage weightings to be used for evaluating the Proposals. This method would produce a summary of the scoring by Component (e.g. Muskrat Falls, Overland Transmission, and HVdc Specialties) and Development Option.

The RFP requested the Proponents to provide proposals based on a Component basis as well as a Development Option basis (e.g. Muskrat Falls + Island Link or Gull Island + Island Link). Upon receipt of the Proposals and through subsequent clarifications held over the past couple of weeks during the preliminary evaluation phase, it is evident that the proposed evaluation plan must be adjusted to address the technicality associated with the commercial basis for the Development Options presented in the Proposals. This adjustment will allow the scoring of the Proposals as submitted, while still ensuring both the intentions and overall technical / commercial weightings in Rev A1 of the Evaluation Plan remain whole.

Rev A1 of the Evaluation Plan currently reflects <u>stand alone</u> Component scores which include their respective Commercial evaluation elements, whereas for MF Scenario A, we have determined that the 3 bidders have submitted Commercial information on a consolidated basis. Based upon this unexpected scenario, we propose to make the following adjustments to the Evaluation Plan:

- Each of the three (3) Components (Muskrat Falls, Overland Transmission, and HVdc Specialties) will to be scored on technical basis only.
- The scoring sheets as contained in Rev A1 of the Evaluation Plan will be adjusted to facilitate the normalizing of the Component scores without the commercial component (e.g. 100/75 x Original Weighting).
- The apportioned commercial weighting from each of the 3 Components, will be combined into an overall commercial score using the previously assigned predetermined weighting for each Component of the MF Scenario A to the overall weighting for the evaluation and using the Commercial information on a consolidated basis.
- The total scoring for the Muskrat Falls Scenario A will remain 75/25 for technical / commercial.

If you concur with the above changes, please sign below.

#### **Core Evaluation Team Members**

Page 4

Changes to the Evaluation Plan for EPCM Services – RFP LC-G-002 20-October-2010

Pat Hussey 1

**Ron Power** 

**Bob Barnes** 

#### Approval of the Proposed Changes Noted in this Memo

Paul Harrington, Project Manager

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - Final MF Scenario A Scoring

 $\bigcirc$ 

)

			Bi			
DEVELOPMENT OPTION	Percentage Weighting	I	Bidder 1		Bidder 2	Comments / Remarks
MF Scenario A		Component Summary Score	Weighted Score	Component Summary Score	Weighted Score	
Component 1	49	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Component 3	8	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Component 4 a/b/c	19	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	
Commercial	25	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	
Total Score	100		0.0		0.0	

# Page 5

		1.1
S		. A
		-
	Nov	16/10

.

 $\bigcirc$ 

			Bidders				
<u>SUMMARY OF SCORING</u> COMPONENT 1 (M. Falls)	Original Percentage Weighting	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bi		
CRITERIA			Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	
COMMERCIAL	25%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT/OVERALL EXECUTION/ HEALTH, SAFETY & ENVIRONMENT	20%	26.67%		0.00		0.00	
ENGINEERING	20%	26.67%		0.00		0.00	
PROCUREMENT/CONTRACTS	7%	9.33%	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0.00		0.00	<u> </u>
CONSTRUCTION / LABOUR RELATIONS	20%	26.67%		0.00		0.00	
FINANCIAL							<u> </u>
BENEFITS	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	<u> </u>
QUALITY ASSURANCE	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	
PROJECT CONTROLS	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	_
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	+
	1						
Summary total score out of (100%):	100%	100%		0.00		0.00	

Comments / Remarks
Nov 16/10

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - Final MF Scenario A Scoring Bidders Original Com SUMMARY OF SCORING Percentage Percentage Bidder 1 Bidder 2 Weighting COMPONENT 3 (HVdc Specialities) Weighting **Final Weighted Final Weighted** Score from detail Score from detail sheets Score sheets Score CRITERIA N/A N/A N/A N/A COMMERCIAL 25% N/A PROJECT MANAGEMENT/OVERALL EXECUTION/ HEALTH, SAFETY & 26.67% 0.00 0.00 20% ENVIRONMENT 20% ENGINEERING 26.67% 0.00 0.00 PROCUREMENT/CONTRACTS 7% 9.33% 0.00 0.00 **CONSTRUCTION / LABOUR RELATIONS** 26.67% 0.00 0.00 20% FINANCIAL 2% 2.67% BENEFITS 0.00 0.00 2% 2.67% 0.00 0.00 QUALITY ASSURANCE PROJECT CONTROLS 2% 2.67% 0.00 0.00 2.67% 0.00 0.00 2% INFORMATION MANAGEMENT Summary total score out of (100%): 100% 100% 0.00 0.00

 $\supset$ 

#### Page 7

	and and the second s
ments / Remarks	
-	
-	
	Nov 16/10

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - Final N	in Scenario A Scoring						
			Bidders				
SUMMARY OF SCORING COMPONENT 4 (Overland Transmission)	Original Percentage Weighting	Percentage Weighting	Bio	dder 1	Bie	dder 2	
CRITERIA			Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	
COMMERCIAL	25%	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	N/A	
PROJECT MANAGEMENT/OVERALL EXECUTION/ HEALTH, SAFETY &	20%	26.67%	-	0.00		0.00	
ENGINEERING	10%	13.33%		0.00		0.00	
PROCUREMENT/CONTRACTS	12%	16.00%		0.00		0.00	
CONSTRUCTION / LABOUR RELATIONS	25%	33.33%		0.00		0.00	
FINANCIAL							
BENEFITS	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	
QUALITY ASSURANCE	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	
PROJECT CONTROLS	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	2%	2.67%		0.00		0.00	
Summary total score out of (100%):	100%	100%		0.00		0.00	

 $\supset$ 

Comments / Remarks
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Nov 16/10

#### RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - Final MF Scenario A Scoring

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

				Bio	dders		
COMMERCIAL - MF Scenario A (Final Normalized)	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score
Total Estimated Cost		80					
Bidder's hourly rate + mark-ups x normalized estimated person hours							
includes:							
Payroll Burden Rate							
Office Overhead Rate							
Computer Services Rate							
Reproduction Services Rate							
Canadian tax equalization percentage							
Escalation impact							
Fixed Fee		15					
Miscellaneous							
Main Project Office cost for Company personnel (\$/month)		3					
Additional Office Space for Company personnel	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1					
Computer Hardware and Software Mark-up		1					
Agreement terms and conditions exceptions		make or break discussion					
Score this Section:		100		0.00		0.00	

Nov 16/10

Comments / Remarks							
pred by P. Hussey and input from others as required							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							

Page 9

**RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services EVALUATION CRITERIA** 

 $\bigcirc$ 

		Bidders							
SUMMARY OF SCORING COMPONENT 1 (M. Falls)	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3			
		Score from detail	Final Weighted	Score from detail	Final Weighted	Score from detail	Final Weighted		
CRITERIA		sheets	Score	sheets	Score	sheets	Score		
COMMERCIAL	25%	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0		
PROJECT MANAGEMENT/OVERALL EXECUTION/ HEALTH, SAFETY & ENVIRONMENT	20%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
ENGINEERING	20%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
PROCUREMENT/CONTRACTS	7%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
CONSTRUCTION / LABOUR RELATIONS	20%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
FINANCIAL	acceptable or not acceptable								
BENEFITS	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
QUALITY ASSURANCE	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
PROJECT CONTROLS	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0	0.00	0		
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·								
						4			
Summary total score out of (100%):	100%		0.00		0.00		0.00		

**Revision Date** 

	Comments / Remarks
ed	
Harmond (10) - ar an an	
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	16-Sep-10

.

### **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services EVALUATION CRITERIA**

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

		Bidders									
<u>SUMMARY OF SCORING</u> COMPONENT 3 (HVdc Specialties)	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bido	ler 2	Bid	der 3				
CRITERIA		Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score				
COMMERCIAL	25%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	+			
PROJECT MANAGEMENT/OVERALL EXECUTION/ HEALTH, SAFETY & ENVIRONMENT	20%	0	0	0	0	0	0				
ENGINEERING	20%	0	0	0	0	0	0	<u> </u>			
PROCUREMENT/CONTRACTS	7%	0	0	0	0	0	0				
CONSTRUCTION / LABOUR RELATIONS	20%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	<u> </u>			
FINANCIAL	acceptable or not acceptable										
BENEFITS	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
QUALITY ASSURANCE	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
PROJECT CONTROLS	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00				
								<u> </u>			
								<u> </u>			
								<u> </u>			
Summary total score out of (100%):	100%		0.00		0.00		0.00	+			

Comments / Remarks	
	galagi Additi da ana 🖂
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
·····	
·	
	10.0
	16-Sep-10

#### RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services EVALUATION CRITERIA

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

		Bidders								
<u>SUMMARY OF SCORING</u> COMPONENT 4 (Overland Transmission)	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bic	lder 2	Bidder 3				
CRITERIA		Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score	Score from detail sheets	Final Weighted Score			
COMMERCIAL	25%	0.00	0	0.00	0	0.00	0	$\pm$		
PROJECT MANAGEMENT/OVERALL EXECUTION/ HEALTH, SAFETY &	20%	0	0	0	0	0	0			
ENGINEERING	10%	0	0	0	0	0	0			
PROCUREMENT/CONTRACTS	12%	0	0	0	0	0	0	+		
CONSTRUCTION / LABOUR RELATIONS	25%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	+		
FINANCIAL	acceptable or not acceptable									
BENEFITS	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	+		
QUALITY ASSURANCE	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
PROJECT CONTROLS	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00			
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	2%	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	0.00	+		
								+		
								+		
								+		
								+		
Summary total score out of (100%):	100%		0.00		0.00		0.00			

**Revision Date** 

Comments / Remarks	
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
	-
	1
	16-Sep-10

Page 12

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA										
			Bidders							
COMMERCIAL - COMPONENT 1	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1			Bidder 2		Bidder 3			
		Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Scor		
Total Estimated Cost	80		0		0		0			
Bidder's hourly rate + mark-ups x normalized estimated person hours										
includes:										
Payroll Burden Rate										
Office Overhead Rate										
Computer Services Rate										
Reproduction Services Rate										
Canadian tax equalization percentage					-					
Escalation impact										
Fixed Fee	15	-	0		0		0			
Miscellaneous	-									
Main Project Office cost for Company personnel (\$/month)	3		0		0		0			
Additional Office Space for Company personnel	1		0		0		0			
Computer Hardware and Software Mark-up	1		0		0		0			
Agreement terms and conditions exceptions	make or break discussion									
		1								
								-		
			-					<u> </u>		
								1.		
								an litera i		
						1				
							-	-		
Score this Section:	100		0.00		0.00		0.00	1		

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

**Revision Date** 

Comments / Remarks
cored by P. Hussey and input from others as require

### **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA**

 $\bigcirc$ 

		Bidders						
COMMERCIAL - COMPONENT 3	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bio	Bidder 2		Bidder 3	
		Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Scored
Total Estimated Cost	80		0		0		0	
Bidder's hourly rate + mark-ups x normalized estimated person hours								
includes:								
Payroll Burden Rate								
Office Overhead Rate								
Computer Services Rate								
Reproduction Services Rate								
Canadian tax equalization percentage								
Escalation impact								
Fixed Fee	15		0		0		0	_
Miscellaneous								
Main Project Office cost for Company personnel (\$/month)	3		0		0		0	
Additional Office Space for Company personnel	1		0		0		0	
Computer Hardware and Software Mark-up	1		0		0		0	
Agreement terms and conditions exceptions	make or break discussion							
	4.5.5							
Score this Section:	100		0.00		0.00		0.00 Revision Date	

**Revision Date** 

Comments / Remarks
·····
d by P. Hussey and input from others as required
16-Sep-10

Page 14

## **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA**

)

Bidders							1	
COMMERCIAL - COMPONENT 4	Percentage Weighting	Bi	Bidder 1 Bidder 2		Bidder 3		Comments / Remarks	
		Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Scored by P. Hussey and input from others as required
Total Estimated Cost	80		0		0		0	
Bidder's hourly rate + mark-ups x normalized estimated								
person hours								
includes:								
Payroll Burden Rate						-		
Office Overhead Rate								
Computer Services Rate		-						
Reproduction Services Rate								
Canadian tax equalization percentage								
Escalation impact								
Fixed Fee	15		0		0		0	
						-		
Miscellaneous								
Main Project Office cost for Company personnel (\$/month)	3		0		0		0	
Additional Office Space for Company personnel	1		0		0		0	
Computer Hardware and Software Mark-up	1		0		0		0	
Agreement terms and conditions exceptions	make or break							
	discussion							
			1					
Score this Section:	100		0.00		0.00		0.00	

**Revision Date** 

Page	15

16-Sep-10

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA

Г

 $\bigcirc$ 

Э

 $\bigcirc$ 

			Bidders						
PROJ. MAN / OVERALL EXECUTION - COMPONENT 1	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bido	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		dder 3	
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighte Score	
Execution Plan Outline / Summary	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Scope of Services encompassed / understood</li> <li>Engineering Services</li> <li>Procurement Services</li> <li>Construction Management Services</li> </ul>									
- Project Management Services	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<ul> <li>Coordination Procedures - understood - description how to be encompassed</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Project implementation / delivery described</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.2 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Gate deliverables addressed</li> </ul>	Part 2, Exhibit 3								
<ul> <li>Project management systems, procedures, tools, and methods</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(i)								
<ul> <li>Proponent's facilities / location of Services</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 13.0								
<ul> <li>Current, booked and projected work commitments</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 14.0								
<ul> <li>Mobilization and staffing</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(g)								
Person hour estimate	Part 1, Section 0.5, 10.0								
Health, Safety & Environment	Part 1, Section 0.5, 9.0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Risk management		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
• approach	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(b) + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Identification of key project risks</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(b)		-						
Project Implementation Schedule(s)	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Project Organization including subcontractors	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0, & 6.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Capabilities	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0								
<ul> <li>Division of responsibilities</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0		_						
Overall organization structure									
<ul> <li>Subcontractor management</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0			-		1			
Key Personnel	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Project Sponsor / Director									
Project Manager									
<ul> <li>Engineering Manager / Coordination Manager</li> </ul>									
Contracts / Procurement Manager		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						_	
Project Controls Manager						-			
General Construction Manager									
Completions Scope Manager									
Quality Assurance Manager									
HSE Manager     Labour Relations Manager									
		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Engagement	Dout 1 Section O.F. 11.0 + SOUM + Court Deve	3	0	0		0	0	0	
Engagement with Company's Project management team	Part 1, Section 0.5, 11.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
Expectations of Company	Part 1, Section 0.5, 12.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
		100		0		0		0	
Score this Section:		100		U		U U		Revision Da	

Revisio

	Comments / Remarks
ted	Scored by CET and input from others as required
0	· · · · · ·
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
)	
)	

**RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA** 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

					Bid	ders			
PROJ. MAN / OVERALL EXECUTION - COMPONENT 3	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Weighting Bidder 1		Bi	dder 2	Bi	Bidder 3	
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighte Score	
Execution Plan Outline / Summary	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Scope of Services encompassed / understood</li> </ul>		-							
- Engineering Services									
- Procurement Services				5					
- Construction Management Services									
- Project Management Services	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.	30	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<ul> <li>Coordination Procedures - understood - description how to</li> </ul>									
be encompassed	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Project implementation / delivery described.</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.2 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
Gate deliverables addressed	Part 2, Exhibit 3								
<ul> <li>Project management systems, procedures, tools, and</li> </ul>									
methods	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(i)								
<ul> <li>Proponent's facilities / location of Services</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 13.0			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Current, booked & projected work commitments	Part 1, Section 0.5, 14.0								
Mobilization and staffing	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(g)								
Person hour estimate	Part 1, Section 0.5, 10.0								
						-			
Health, Safety & Environment	Part 1, Section 0.5, 9.0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Risk management		2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
• approach	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(b) + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Identification of key project risks</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(b)								
Project Implementation Schedule(s)	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Project Organization including subcontractors	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0 & 6.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
• Capabilities	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0								
Division of responsibilities	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0								
Overall organization structure						-			
Subcontractor management	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0								
- Subcontractor management									
Key Personnel	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Project Sponsor / Director									
Project Manager									
Engineering Manager / Coordination Manager									
Contracts / Procurement Manager							-	×	
Project Controls Manager									
General Construction Manager				-					
Completions Scope Manager									
Quality Assurance Manager			-						
HSE Manager									
Labour Relations Manager									
								-	
Engagement		3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<ul> <li>Engagement with Company's Project management team</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 11.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.		1						
• Expectations of Company	Part 1, Section 0.5, 12.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
								0	

	Comments / Remarks
ed	Scored by CET and input from others as required
0	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
)	
) Date	16-Sep-10

Page 17

~

-

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA

 $\ominus$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

r		r			Bi	dders		
PROJ. MAN / OVERALL EXECUTION - COMPONENT 4	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bi	dder 1	Bidder 2		Bidder 3	
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score
Execution Plan Outline / Summary	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
<ul> <li>Scope of Services encompassed / understood</li> </ul>								
- Engineering Services								
- Procurement Services								
- Construction Management Services								
- Project Management Services	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.	30	0	0	0	0	0	0
<ul> <li>Coordination Procedures - understood - description how to</li> </ul>								
be encompassed.	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
<ul> <li>Project implementation / delivery described.</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.2 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
<ul> <li>Gate deliverables addressed</li> </ul>	Part 2, Exhibit 3							
<ul> <li>Project management systems, procedures, tools, and</li> </ul>								
methods	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(i)							
<ul> <li>Proponent's facilities / location of Services</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 13.0							
<ul> <li>Current, booked &amp; projected work commitments</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 14.0							
Mobilization and staffing	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(g)							
Person hour estimate	Part 1, Section 0.5, 10.0	-						
				-				-
Health, Safety & Environment	Part 1, Section 0.5, 9.0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
Risk management		2	0	0	0	0	0	0
• approach	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(b) + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
Identification of key project risks	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3(b)							
Project Implementation Schedule(s)	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Project Implementation Schedule(S)	Fart 1, Section 0.5, 4.4						0	0
Project Organization including subcontractors	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Capabilities	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0							
Division of responsibilities	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0							
<ul> <li>Overall organization structure</li> </ul>								
Subcontractor management	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0				-			
Key Personnel	Part 1, Section 0.5, 5.0, & 6.0	25	0	0	0	0	0	0
Project Sponsor / Director				* D		· · · · · ·		
Project Manager							• second show	
<ul> <li>Engineering Manager / Coordination Manager</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Contracts / Procurement Manager</li> </ul>		1						
Project Controls Manager								
General Construction Manager			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
Completions Scope Manager								
Quality Assurance Manager								
HSE Manager								
Labour Relations Manager								
Engagement		3	0	0	0	0	0	0
<ul> <li>Engagement with Company's Project management team</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 11.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
• Expectations of Company	Part 1, Section 0.5, 12.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
Score this Section:		100		0		0		0

Revision

Page 1	8
--------	---

	Comments / Remarks
ted	Scored by CET and input from others as required
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
D	
D	
Date	16-Sep-10

#### **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA**

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

					Bi	dders		
ENGINEERING - COMPONENT 1	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bi	dder 1	Bi	dder 2	В	idder 3
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighte Score
Engineering Execution Plan Outline / Summary		20	0	0	0	0	0	0
<ul> <li>Engineering Execution - overall approach, engineering</li> </ul>								
management, design controls, resources	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
<ul> <li>Engineering Coordination - understood / description how to be</li> </ul>								
encompassed.	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
<ul> <li>Engineering requirements for Gate 3 deliverables addressed</li> </ul>	Part 2, Exhibit 3							
<ul> <li>Processes for inclusion of Safety, VIP's, and Asset Managements</li> </ul>								
requirements in design process.	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.							
Engineering Implementation Schedule(s)	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0
Engineering Planning	Part 1, Section 0.5, 15.0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0
Engineering Organization	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Senior Personnel	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0
Civil Engineering Lead - General					-			
Civil Engineering Lead - Geotechnical								-
Civil Engineering Lead - Hydrotechnical								
Civil Engineering Lead - Dams & Cofferdams		1						
Civil Engineering Lead - Structural								
Civil Engineering Lead - Environmental								
Electrical Engineering Lead - General								
Electrical Engineering Lead - Power Generation						_		
Electrical Engineering Lead - Control Systems								
Electrical Engineering Lead - Instrumentation						_		
Electrical Engineering Lead - Protection and Controls								
Electro-Mechanical Lead - (Turbine & Generator)								
Mechanical Engineering Lead - General								
Communications Engineering Lead (Telecommunications)								
Specialist Personnel								
Computer programs, models and specialist software - (engineering			1					
related)	Part 2, Exhibit 3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0
Engagement		5	0	0	0	0	0	0
<ul> <li>Engagement with Company wrt Engineering Execution</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 11.0 + Coord. Proc.							
Expectations of Company	Part 1, Section 0.5, 12.0 + Coord. Proc.							
Staffing plan - appropriate use of local resources		5	0	0	0	0	0	0

**Revision Date** 

N DIVERSITY OF THE	
	Comments / Remarks
ted	Scored by R. Power / B.Barnes and input from others as required
0	
11.0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	

16-Sep-10

#### **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA**

 $\bigcirc$ 

				Bio	ders			1
ENGINEERING - COMPONENT 3	Percentage Weighting Bidder 1		Bid	der 2	Bidder 3		Con	
		Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Scored by R. Powe others as required
Engineering Execution Plan Outline / Summary	20	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<ul> <li>Engineering Execution - overall approach, engineering management, design controls, resources</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Engineering Coordination - understood / description how to be encompassed.</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Engineering requirements for Gate 3 deliverables addressed</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Processes for inclusion of Safety, VIP's, and Asset Managements requirements in design process.</li> </ul>								
Engineering Implementation Schedule(s)	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Engineering Planning	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Engineering Organization	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Senior Personnel	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Lead Engineer - HVdc System Integration				-				
Lead Engineer - Electrical Power Systems Lead Engineer - Electrodes				_				
Lead Engineer - Converter Station / Switchyard							-	
Lead Engineer - Civil/Structural Services								
Specialist Personnel				-				
Computer programs, models and specialist software - (engineering related)	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Engagement	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<ul> <li>Engagement with Company wrt Engineering Execution</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Expectations of Company</li> </ul>								
Staffing plan - appropriate use of local resources	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Score this Section:	100		0		0		0	

Revision Date

Page 20

ver / B.Barnes and input from	
	wer / B.Barnes and input from ed
16-Sep-10	

					Bio	dders			
ENGINEERING - COMPONENT 4	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bic	lder 1	Bid	lder 2	Bio	dder 3	Ī
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	S r
Engineering Execution Plan Outline / Summary		20	0	0	0	0	0	0	
<ul> <li>Engineering Execution - overall approach, engineering management, design controls, resources</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Engineering Coordination - understood / description how to be encompassed.</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
<ul> <li>Engineering requirements for Gate 3 deliverables addressed</li> </ul>	Part 2, Exhibit 3								
<ul> <li>Processes for inclusion of Safety, VIP's, and Asset Managements requirements in design process.</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.0 + SOW + Coord. Proc.								
Engineering Implementation Schedule(s)	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	+
Engineering Planning	Part 1, Section 0.5, 15.0	2	0	0	0	0	0	0	╞
Engineering Organization	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	F
Senior Personnel	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.0	50	0	0	0	0	0	0	╞
Civil Engineering Lead - Towers and Foundations								· · · · ·	
Civil Engineering Lead - Geotechnical									╞
Engineering Lead - Transmission Lines				ļ					╞
Lead Engineer - Surveying Engineering							1		╞
Civil Engineering Lead - Environmental									┢
Sr. Materials/Corrosion Engineer Specialist Personnel									╞
Computer programs, models and specialist software -		-							┢
(engineering related)	Part 2, Exhibit 3	3	0	0	0	0	0	0	┝
Engagement		5	0	0	0	0	0	0	T
<ul> <li>Engagement with Company wrt Engineering Execution</li> </ul>	Part 1, Section 0.5, 11.0 + Coord. Proc.								
Expectations of Company	Part 1, Section 0.5, 12.0 + Coord. Proc.								F
Staffing plan - appropriate use of local resources		5	0	0	0	0	0	0	F
Score this Section:		100		0		0		0	+

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

Comments / Remarks
Scored by R. Power / B.Barnes and input from others as required
16-Sep-10

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA

 $\bigcirc$ 

					Bid	Bidders					
PROCUREMENT & CONTRACTS	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3				
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Scor		
Identify approach to procurement and contracting relative											
to the provision of Services	Part 1, Section 0.5, 18.0	30	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<ul> <li>Overall procurement and contracts execution plan - Is it realistic?</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Proposed breakdown of work into procurement and contract packages</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Process used to identify and qualify contractors and</li> </ul>											
suppliers for bid lists	Part 1, Section 0.5, 18.0			1							
List of processes and procedures	Part 1, Section 0.5, 18.0	5	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<ul> <li>Are they available?</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Do they require amendment to suit LCP</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Are proposed personnel familiar with them</li> </ul>					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Overview of integrated materials management system											
proposed	Part 1, Section 0.5, 18.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<ul> <li>how does it interface with engineering, project controls</li> </ul>											
and construction											
Is it supported inhouse									_		
<ul> <li>Does it require customization to suit LCP</li> </ul>			+						-		
What is the expeting, material control and logistics plan		10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
<ul> <li>How will materials be controlled through all phases of Project (role of freight forwarder / marshalling yard</li> </ul>						N					
Senior Personnel		40	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Purchasing Supervisor											
Sr. Contracts Coordinator											
Material Control Supervisor											
Expediting Supervisor											
Logistics Supervisor											
Staffing plan - appropriate use of local resources		5	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Score this Section:		100		0		0		0			

Page	e 22
<u> </u>	

by P. Hussey and input from others as require		Comme	nts / Remarks	
	l by P. Hu	ssey and inp	out from others as	required
			n er Rene etterneter A e	
				*

### RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA

 $\bigcirc$ 

			Bidders							
			Diuders							
CONSTRUCTION - COMPONENT 1	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3			
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score		
Construction strategy and construction management including construction execution planning	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3 (w) and 7.0 in parts									
<ul> <li>Construction strategy</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Construction execution planning</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Relevant examples / lessons learned</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Productivity labour and equipment</li> </ul>										
<ul> <li>Availability skilled labour</li> </ul>										
Winter work										
Logistic planning										
Sub total		25	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Constructability during design process	Part 1, Section 0.5, 7.0 in parts	5	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Labour Relations	Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Project Implementation Schedules	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.4	5	0	0	0	0	0	0		
Project Organization	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0		
	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.3 & 6.4									
Senior Personnel	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.3 & 6.4					-				
C. M. Concrete Structures										
• C. M. RCC dams										
Concrete specialist										
C. M. Mechanical										
C. M. Electrical										
<ul> <li>Construction Planning Engineer</li> <li>Sub total</li> </ul>		45	0	0	0.00	0	0	0		
Sub total		100		0	0.00	0	0	0		
Score uns Section:		100						Revision Date		

C 11	
	Comments / Remarks
	Scored by J. Kroyer / R. Power / B. Barnes and input from others as required
_	
_	
_	
	~
_	
_	
2	16-Sep-10

 $\bigcirc$ 

				Bide				
CONSTRUCTION - COMPONENT 3	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3		Comments / Remarks
		Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Scored by J. Kroyer / R. Power / B. Barnes and input from others as required
				1				
Construction strategy and construction management including construction execution planning								
Construction strategy								
<ul> <li>Construction execution planning</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Relevant examples / lessons learned</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Productivity labour and equipment</li> </ul>								
<ul> <li>Availability skilled labour</li> </ul>								
Winter work								
Logistic planning								
Sub total	25	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Constructability during design process	5	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Labour Relations	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
							·	
Project Implementation Schedules	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
				· ·				
Project Organization	10	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Senior Personnel								
Area Construction Manager 1								
Area Construction Manager 2								
Construction Planning Engineer								
Electrode Construction Lead								
Sub total	40	0	0	0	0	0	0	
Score this Section:	100		0		0		0	

# Page 24

#### RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA

 $\bigcirc$ 

					Bid	idders					
CONSTRUCTION - COMPONENT 4	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bi	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		lder 3			
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score			
Construction strategy and construction management including construction execution planning	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.3 (w) and 7.0 in parts										
<ul> <li>Construction strategy</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Construction execution planning</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Relevant examples / lessons learned</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Productivity labour and equipment</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Availability skilled labour</li> </ul>											
Winter work											
Logistic planning											
Sub total		30	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Constructability during design process	Part 1, Section 0.5, 7.0 in parts	5	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Labour Relations	Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0	10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Project Implementation Schedules	Part 1, Section 0.5, 4.4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Project Organization	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.2	10	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Senior Personnel	Part 1, Section 0.5, 6.3 & 6.4										
<ul> <li>Area Construction Manager 1</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Area Construction Manager 2</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Precommissioning Manager 1</li> </ul>											
Precommissioning Manager 2											
Sub total		35	0	0	0	0	0	0			
Score this Section:		100		0		0		0			

	Comments / Remarks
	Scored by J. Kroyer / R. Power / B. Barnes and input from others as required
_	
te	16-Sep-10

RED	10-6-002	<b>EPCM Services</b>	- EVALUATION	CRITERIA
INFF	LC-0-002	LFCIVI JEI VILES	- LVALUATION	CULLUIA

 $\bigcirc$ 

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUAT	ION CRITERIA								
					Bi	idders			
FINANCIAL	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3		Comments / Remarks
			ISCORE IU-101	Weighted Score	ISCORE IU-IUI	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Evaluated by J. Meaney and input from others as required
Financial Stability / Viability									
			-		-				
				1					
Seeve Accentable or Unaccentable:									
Score Acceptable or Unacceptable:									10.0.1

**Revision Date** 

Page	26
------	----

16-Sep-10

		1				Bidders		
BENEFITS	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	B	Bidder 1	В	idder 2		3idder 3
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score
Understanding and Commitment to NL Benefits	Part 1, Section 4, 2.0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Understanding and commitment to NL Benefits Objectives and Principles	Part 1, Section 4.0							
Understanding and commitment to contracting and purchasing policy	Part 1, Section 5.1							
Inderstanding and commitment to NL Benefits Reporting	Part 1, Section 5.4		-					
Understanding and commitment to Gender Equity	Part 1, Section 5.5						-	
Understanding and commitment to Diversity	Part 1, Section 5.6							
Past and Future Initiatives	Part 1, Section 4.0, 6.0	40	0	0	0	0	0	0
Supplier Development Initiatives	Part 1, Section 6.1							
* Joint Ventures								
* Subcontract opportunities								
* Technology/Skill Transfer								
* Supplier development sessions								
Employee Development Initiatives	Part 1, Section 6.2			0		0		0
* Sucession Planning								
* Work Placements								
* Internal Training								
* Relationships with stakeholders						-		
* Career Fairs								
Gender Equity	Part 1, Section 6.3	-		0		0		0
* activities that aided in increased participation rates					4			
~ recruitment								
~ retention								
~ promotion of women							-	
* Details of Future Activities to increase participation rates								
* Equity/Harassment Policies								
Diversity	Part 1, Section 6.4			0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	0		0
* Past activities that aided in increased participation rates								
* Future planned activities								
* Harassment or Discrimination Policies								
NL Bid content	Part 1, Section 0.4, 7.0	15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Project Management							1	
Engineering Management								
- Procurement and Contract Management								
- Other								
NL Benefits Reporting	Part 1, Section 0.4, 5.4	10	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Current data collected								
* gender								
* diversity								
* persons with disabilities								
Resources allocated to Benefits Reporting								
Resources Allocated to NL Benefits	Part 1, Section 0.4, 3.0	20	0	0	0	0	0	0
- Resumes of Personnel								
- Placement of Personnel within organization								
- Percentage of time assigned to NL Benefits								
Score this Section:		100		0.00	1	0.00		0.00
JUIE UNS JEUUUN.		100		0.00		0.00	, ,	Revision Date

**Revision Date** 

Page 2	27
--------	----

cored by M. Moran and input from others as required		Comments / Remarks
		Scored by M. Moran and input from others as required
	-	
	1	
	_	
	_	
	_	
	-	
	_	
	1	
	_	
	_	
	-	
	-	
	_	
	-	
	_	
	-	

16-Sep-10

					Bic	lders		
HEALTH, SAFETY and ENVIRONMENT	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3	
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighte Score
Personnel *Does the proposed HSE Manager have background and experience in all aspects of the EPCM's scope? *Does the bidder exhibit a real safety culture and is committed to Zero Harm - Nobody Gets Hurt? Demonstration of management leadership and commitment to safety & environment? (i.e. check for philosophical alignment with Nalcor environment policy) *Does bidder have the personnel capacity to effectively manage all aspects of HSE on this project? - Environmental oversight / monitoring, coordination and management - H&S oversign / monitoring / coaching		30	0	0	0	0	0	0
Management System *Bidder's overall approach to Project-level safety management including site(s) safety oversight & coordination. *Maturity and comprehensiveness of bidder's H&S Management System? - Reference Key Elements of BS 18001 or CSA Z1000. *Maturity and comprehensiveness of bidder's Environmental Management System? - Reference ISO 14001 key elements. *Are H&S and E covered under different management systems or an all- encompassing management system? *Is bidder planning to create a new management system for this Project or use its existing system?		15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Safety By Design *Does the bidder understand the Safety-By-Design concept? Has the bidder successfully implemented the concept on previous projects? *What has been the result? *Does the bidder have an established, mature Safety-By-Design program that envelopes both the engineering and construction management phases? Check for competency and capability in the following areas: - HAZOPs / HAZIDs /What-if Analysis - General design reviews for safety related concerns - Quantitative Risk Assessments - Loss Control		10	0	0	0	0	0	0
Construction Safety *Does bidder subscribe to the approach of "coaching and guiding" of contractors to achieved the desired safety performance? Demonstrated track record of achieving a successful outcome using this approach? *How does the bidder propose to manage H&S performance for a mobile construction workforce?		10	0	0	0	0	0	0

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

the state of the s	
	Comments / Remarks
nted	Scored by J. Kean and input from others as required
0	
0	
5	
0	
0	

						Bidders								
HEALTH, SAFETY and ENVIRONMENT	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1	T	lder 2	Bidder 3								
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighte Score						
*Does the bidder have field proven processes and tools for effectively managing		10	0	0	0	0	0	0						
construction safety? Are these considered best practices within the industry?														
-TBRA					5									
- safety meetings														
- emergency response							1. St. 1. St. 1.							
- orientation & training			- · ·											
- simultaneous operations					1 A. 19	· · · ·								
- hazardous materials management					· · · · · ·									
- substance abuse prevention program						1								
- management of change														
- system turnover					-									
- incident management														
- subcontractor management														
- ergonomics / human factors														
- maintenance program														
- work procedures														
- permit to work														
- environmental response														
- parallel construction					1	,								
- waste management														
- fitness for duty				n n				1						
- new employee program														
- process safety														
- visitor access														
		·*												
- industrial hygiene														
- severe weather														
- extended shifts / rotations				· · · ·										
*What is the bidder's track record for loss management of large infrastructure		10	8	8	0	0	0	0						
works?														
(Check leading / lagging ratio, LTIFR, TRIFR)								· · · · ·						
*Does the bidder have experience successfully implementing behaviour based														
safety programs? Check key elements of the program and review pre-use and post														
use performance.														
*Has the bidder ever lead a site-safety steering committee? (If yes, review terms of														
reference)							- A							
*Assess bidder's views on the effectiveness of integrating construction safety														
performance and productivity. (Check for experience with liason committee with						-								
labor, or use of WorkFace Planning Principles)														
*What is the bidder's view of safety incentive programs and is it aligned with														
Nalcor's views / industry best practice?														
*Experience setting up and managing site HSE supporting infrastructure (medical,														
fire, etc.) and process (i.e.ER, D&A Testing)?														

 $\ominus$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

	0
	Comments / Remarks
hted	Scored by J. Kean and input from others as
0	required
-	
D	

Weighting     Diduct 1     Diduct 2     Diduct 3       inclusion     inclusion     inclusion     inclusion     inclusion     inclusion       inclusion     inclusion     inclusion     inclusion     <								
					Bio	lders		
HEALTH, SAFETY and ENVIRONMENT	RFP Reference Item	-	Bic	lder 1	Bio	Bidder 2		der 3
			Score (0-10)	-	Score (0-10)	-	Score (0-10)	
Environment *Experience developing and implementing of Environmental Management Plan for construction including waste management, training, EPP? *Bidder has an established regulatory compliance management plan and supporting processes for effectively managing CLNPA including permit tracking and follow-up? *Experience developing and implementing of environmental mitigations and rehabilitation plan for use during and post the construction work? * Does the Proponent have a proven record of environmental performance? * Experience design & construction habitat compensation (aquatic & terressitial)? * Experience design & construction of mitigations & rehabilitation (i.e. sediment control & treatment)? * Experience developing and implementing contingency plans for accidentai events i.e fuel spills, forest fires)?		15	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total Score this Section:		100		8		0		0

 $\exists$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

Revisio

	Comments / Remarks
hted	Scored by J. Kean and input from others as required
0	
0	
n Date	16-Sep-10

RFP LC-G-00	2 EPCM Se	rvices - E	VALUATIC	ON CRITER

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

						Bidders		
QUALITY ASSURANCE	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting		Bidder 1	Bidder 2		Bidder 3	
	Si	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Sco	
PART A - Identify Propoponents approach to Quality Assurance and Performance								
relative to the provision of services. Demonstrate overall accountability for Project -								
wide quality assurance.								
Key Criteria for Part A include:								
Is Proponent ISO Compliance		5		0		0		0
Document Quality Policy, Goals, Objectives, Expectations		10		0		0		0
Quality Organization Charts for each Phase		10		0	1	0		0
Compentency of Key Quality Personnel/Resources		10	5	0		0		0
Key Quality Metrics for Reporting		5		0		0		0
Quality Reporting Fequency & Type		5		0		0		0
Sub total		45		0.0		0.0		0.0
PART B - Provide the TOC of Proponents standard QA/QC procedures and detail how								
the procedures will be developed into a project specific Quality Plan for the provision								
of services.								
Key Criteria for Part B include:				-				
		15		0		0		0
Quality General		15		0		0		
Key Elements include:								
- Internal/External Audit - processes to monitor internal/external activities to ensure		1						
conformance to procedures - Continuous Improvement - processes to monitor, evaluate and improve the quality of the								
services								
- Non-Conformance (Identification & Disposition) - processes to ensure problems are								
identified and solutions implemented			1			1	1	1.
- Control of Documentations and Records - Development of issue, implementation and								
maintenance of documents and records retained as part of the service delivery				1 A A				
maintenance of documents and records retained as part of the service derivery								
- Customer Satisfaction - processes employed to monitor customer satisfaction and how								
they will be implemented								
Quality in Engineering		10		0		0		0
Key Elements include:								
- Design Planning								
- Design Inputs/Outputs								
- Design Review				-				
- Design Verification								
- Design Validation								
- Design Valuation								
Quality in Procurement		10		0		0		0
Key Elements include:		10		0				
- Subcontractor selection process								
- Criticality of purchased equipment								
- Subcontractor/Supplier management								
- Review and approval of ITP's								
Quality in Construction Management		10		0		0		0
Key Elements include:								
- Processes to ensure construction and installation activities are conducted in								
accordance with drawings, specifications or special vendor installation requirements								

	Comments / Remarks
core	Scored by M. Peddle and input from others as required
016	ocorea by mareadic and input from others as required
i n	
-	
_	
-	

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA											
			Bidders								
QUALITY ASSURANCE	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting		Bidder 1		Bidder 2	1	3idder 3			
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Scor			
<ul> <li>Processes to ensure construction and installation activities are planned and performed by qualified trained personnel equipped with suitable tools and procedures.</li> </ul>											
<ul> <li>Processes to monitor conformance to standards, codes and jurisdictional regulations</li> </ul>											
Inspection & Testing		5		0		0		0			
- Key Elements include:											
<ul> <li>Processes to ensure inspection &amp; testing activities are developed and conducted during the services</li> </ul>											
- Inspection coverage levels with respect to criticality of equipment						_					
Quality in Pre-Commissioning & Turnover		5		0		0		0			
Key Elements include:											
- Processes for acceptance of equipment, systems and prescribed QC documents											
- Preliminary testing for acceptance and completeness											
		100									
Total Score this Section		100		0.0		0.0		0.0			

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

 $\bigcirc$ 

	Comments / Remarks
ore	Scored by M. Peddle and input from others as required
10	
۰.,	
	16-Sep-1

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA Bidders Percentage LABOUR RELATIONS **RFP Reference Item** Bidder 1 Bidder 2 Weighting Score (0-10) Weighted Score Score (0-10) Weighted Score Sco Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0 (a) 10 0 0 Construction labour framework Expect to see detail of why they are recommending a certain model and their logic will determine their score 40 Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0 (b) 0 0 Labour / HR management plan Expect to see references to site policies, camp rules, temporary assignment policies, relocation policy, travel policy, compensation uplift for projects, etc. • Would expect execution plan to show linkage between HR, labour, safety and productivity to score top marks • Want to see that they understand that they are managing day to day labour with contrators and we are the overseer of the agreement Staffing of the labour and HR department • Overall understanding and thought gone into personnel requirements for the project in sections, 0.5, 4.3, (g) - Mob plan, 4.3 (z) - Productivity, 6.2 - organization structure, 19 - staffing and interface, 22 - HR planning and 23 - employment T's and C's Expect to see information on people supply and demand • Expect to see how they manage fluctuating personnel needs and turnover for their company and projects specifically • Expect to see areas they expect have difficulty recruiting and some thoughts on how to overcome Linkage of contracting strategy to labour relations management Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0 (c) 10 0 0 • This is really detail of the labour execution plan • Expect to see close tie in the organization structure to safety, diversity, LR, HR and productivity with a philosophy on who leads this in the organization and how they can eliminate the silos that typically exist around these functions. A roadmap would be good. Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0 (d) 10 0 0 Supervisory orientation / training Simply want to see an orientation plan that makes sense and will compare to others submitted Employee orientation plan Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0 (e) 5 0 0 • Simply want to see an orientation plan that makes sense and will compare to others submitted Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0 (f) 15 0 abour availability 0 Expect to see recruitment strategy references, examples of other projects where it has worked • Want proactive thought here versus hand it over to the unions and contractors 10 Part 1, Section 0.5, 24.0 (g) 0 0 Aboriginal labour relations Want to see their experience and track record in this area to compare amongst them • Want to see that they understand both the challenges and positive attributes of the aboriginal labour workforce, i.e more training may be required to get productivity up to that of an experienced workforce but are very used to the region and can become a loyal pool of labour for the whole project Would like to see recruitment plan references • Would like to see reference to aboriginal on site employment counselors 100 0 0 Score this Section:

dder 3	Comments / Remarks
Weighted Score	Scored by L. Clarke and input from others as required
0	
0	
0	
<mark>0</mark> ٤	
0	
0	
0	
0	

**Revision Date** 

16-Sep-10

# **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA**

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

			Bidders							
PROJECT CONTROLS	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder			
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weig Score		
Planning and Scheduling	Part 1, Section 0.5, 15.0									
1. Electronic system used for scheduling (Primavera)		3.50		0		0				
2. Establish baseline schedule		3.00		0		0		<u> </u>		
3. Method for weighting/resourcing schedule activities		3.00		0		0		<u> </u>		
4. Progress methodology established (physical)		3.00		0		0		+		
Methods for PM/Eng/Procurement/Contracts / Construction (civil, structural etc)										
5. Schedule analysis and change management in place		3.00		0		0		<u> </u>		
6. Look ahead (short term / med term)		1.75		0		0		<u> </u>		
7. Roll-up capability to Owner's project master schedule		0.875		0		0		-		
8. Good sorting / organization coding structure in place										
including Owner required coding		2		0		0				
9. Earn value calculation basis for roll up		3.00		0		0		<u> </u>		
10. Methodology in place for forecasting and mitigating		1.75		0		0				
schedule slippage		1.75		0		0		+		
11. Audit process		0.875		0		0				
12. Interface of planning / scheduling system with cost control (progress/cost forecasting time phase)		3.00		0		0				
13. Reporting mechanisms in place		1.75		0		0				
14. CPM definition and near CPM schedule analysis reviews and updates		1.75		0		0				
		2								
15. Qualified resources identified/listed Sub total		3 35.00		0 0.00		0 0.00		0		

3	Comments / Remarks
ighted	Scored by D. Pardy and J. Kean and
re	input from others as required
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
U	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0.00	

## **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA**

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

 $\bigcirc$ 

					Bic	lders		
PROJECT CONTROLS	<b>RFP</b> Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bidder 1		Bid	lder 2	Bidder 3	
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weig Score
Cost Management								
1. Cost / control management system in place		4.00		0		0		
2. Cost control accounts established		3.00		0		0		
3. Cost categories established (i.e. labour, expenses,								
materials, equipment etc. )		3.00		0		0		
4. Budget/commitment/incurred/invoiced/accural data								
management in place		3.00		0		0		+
5. Audit process		1.75		0		0		
6. Interface of cost control system with accounting		3.50		0		0		<u> </u>
7. Reconciliation / check process		1.75		0		0		
8. Interface of cost control system with planning/scheduling		4.00		0		0		
9. Change management system		3.50		0		0		
10. Cost management / reporting wrt Benefits reporting		1.75		0		0		
11. Reporting mechanisms in place - high level		1.75		0		0		
Tabular								
Graphical / S-curve 12. Qualified resources identified/listed		4.00		0		0		
12. Qualified resources identified/listed		4.00		0		0		
Sub total		35.00		0.00		0.00		0
Progress Measurement and Forecasting								
1. Progress measurement methodology outlined		6.00		0		0		
Physical / deliverable / prorated / time now / etc								
PM/Eng del/procurement/contracts/construction (by discipline, physical component, project etc.)			-					

3	Comments / Remarks
ighted	Scored by D. Pardy and J. Kean and
re	input from others as required
0	
-	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
U	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0	
0.00	
0	

### **RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRITERIA**

T

F

Y

					Bio	ders		
PROJECT CONTROLS	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting	Bid	lder 1	Bic	lder 2	Bidder 3	
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weig Score
2. Metrices in place		6.00		0		0		
Reporting i.e								
m <sup>3</sup> excavation								
m <sup>3</sup> concrete								
unit counts of poles								
km road								
km <sup>2</sup> clearing								
3. Time tracking system		6.00		0		0		
4. CPI systems / calculation in place		6.00		0		0		
5. SPI system in place		6.00	1	0		0		
Sub total		30.00		0.00		0.00		0
Total Score this Section		100.00		0.00		0.00		0

	]
der 3	Comments / Remarks
Weighted	Scored by D. Pardy and J. Kean and
Score	input from others as required
0	
0	
0	
0	
0.00	
0.00	
<b>Revision Date</b>	16-Sep-10

 $\bigcirc$ 

0

 $\supset$ 

RFP LC-G-002 EPCM Services - EVALUATION CRIT	FERIA								
			Bidders						
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting		Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3	Comments / Remarks
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Scored by J. Ludlow and input from others as required
					1				
IM Plan	Part 2, Section 16.3								
•General IM		3		0		0		0	
- Policy					· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				
- Org. Chart									
- Training Plan									
<ul> <li>Records Management</li> </ul>	Part 2, Section 16.5.1	2	1	0		0		0	
- Procedure									
- Example File Plan									
- Classification / Retention Schedule									
- Sample Correspondence Reg.									
- Systems									
<ul> <li>Document Management/Control</li> </ul>	Part 2, Section 16.5.2	20		0		0		0	
- Procedures									
* Engineering/Design									
* Vendor									
* Contractors									
* Change Management									
* Proprietary Information									
* Project Progress/Milestone Measurement									
* Auditing									
* System(s)			_						
* Sample Doc Register (Flexability to add or delete fields)									
* Sample Transmittal			1					1	
* Eng. Codes/ Standards Availability									
* SDRL Type Doc.									
• Data Management		10		0		0		0	
- Procedures									
- Standards									
- Systems									
- Security									
• IS/IT	Part 2, Section 16.5.4								
- Procedures		5		0		0		0	
* Architeture									
* Standards * Access									
* Incident/Problem							1		
* Operations									
* Backup/Restore									
- Network (LAN/WAN)		2.5		0		0		0	

									7
						Bidders			
INFORMATION MANAGEMENT	RFP Reference Item	Percentage Weighting		Bidder 1		Bidder 2		Bidder 3	
			Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Score (0-10)	Weighted Score	Sc
* Wired/Wireless									
* Guest/Visitor Access									
* Network Apps									-
* Mgmt									+
- Systems/Hardware		2.5		0		0		0	
* Servers Config									
* Desktop/Laptop Auth.									
* Upgrades									-
- Application Portfolio		5		0		0		0	1
- Patch/Upgrade Mgmt		2.5		0		0		0	
- Performance Monitoring									$\vdash$
- Computing Facilities		5		0	-	0		0	1
* Fitup									⊢
* Access								1	1
* Disaster Recovery									
									L
- Security/Controls		2.5		0		0		0	⊢
- Compliance/Audit		2.5		0	_	0		0	
- Mgmt Reporting/Issue Mgmt		2.5	1	0		0		0	
- Service Desk		5		0		0		0	
- Integration Complexity		5		0		0		0	
- Voice / Phone / V- Mail		2.5		0		0		0	
* Mobile Celluar									
* Video Conf									
- Remote Camp Capabilities		5		0		0	1	0	
* Hosted vs. Replication			2	- H					
- Data / Document Exchange Managed File Services		2.5		0		0		0	
* Managed File Services				1 A					
- Collaboration									L
- Project Management									
Sub tot	al	50		0		0		0	
• People		15		0		0		0	
Score this Section:		100		0		0		0	

 $\supset$ 

)

)

Comments / Remarks						
Scored by J. Ludlow and input from others as re	quired					
the second s						
	n an					
	16-Sep-10					