From:	Ken McClintock
To:	Scott Obrien
Subject:	Award Recommendation
Date:	Tuesday, August 11, 2015 10:35:52 AM
Attachments:	.png Presentation Slides.pptx
Importance:	High

Scott

As discussed, here are the files requested.

It may take 3 emails.

Cheers Ken

CH0009 Construction of North & South Dams

Status Presentation

July 24, 2015

Agenda

- Safety Moment
- Purpose
- Background
- Actions Since May 19
- Schedule & Execution Plan
- Bidder Overview
- Evaluation & Scoring
- Recommendation
- Status of Articles/Commercial
- Next Steps

Purpose

Provide an update on the status of CH0009
 Construction of North and South Dams

Background

- Scope
- Construction of Cofferdams
- Removal of Cofferdams
- Construction of North Dam (RCC)
- Construction of South Dam
- Removal of Tailrace Plug
- Construction of Road to C1 (optional)

Milestone Schedule CIMFP Exhibit P-02831

Item:	Interface	Description	Date
		GENERAL	
M1		Contract Award	30-Jun-15
M2		Substantial Completion of the work	31-Nov-2017
M3		Completion of the construction road to Laydown Area C1	31-Dec-2015
	D	VERSION AND RIVER CLOSURE (UPSTREAM COFFERDAM)	_
	11	Spillway Ready for River Diversion, which includes: - Completion of the North Transition Dam	15-Jul-2016
M4		Completion of upstream cofferdam (to El. 26m) and downstream cofferdam.	<mark>31-Oct-2016</mark>
	12	South Dam area available for Foundation Work (Limited)	Contract Award
	13	South Transition Dam Completed (for construction of South Dam Fill)	30-Sep-16
M5		South Dam Completed	31-Oct-17
		IMPOUNDMENT	
	14	Other Structures Ready for Site Impoundment, which includes: - Intake completed; - South Transition Dam Completed;	31-Oct-17
		- Centre Transition Dam Completed	
M6		Temporary Spillway Bridge and Intake Cofferdam Removed	I4 + 2 weeks
M7		North Dam Completed	<mark>31-Oct-2017</mark>
		TAILRACE	
	15	Powerhouse Ready for Tailrace Impoundment	15-Oct-17
M8		Tailrace Rock Plug Removed	15 + 2 weeks

Background (cont'd)

• Budget

- \$182M

- Bid/Evaluation/Award Schedule
 - Bid Closing 22-Oct-14
 - Complete Evaluation 21-Nov-14
 - Award 23-Dec-14
- Overview
 - Evaluation completed Dec 2014
 - Bidders provided cost reduction ideas
 - Reduction to 2 bidders (Barnard/Pennecon JV & H.J. O'Connell/Dragados/JV)
 - Bid evaluation team change
 - Approach (focus on cost savings and firm conditions (tech & commercial)
 - Spillway delayed to 15-Jul-2016
 - Provision (Option) for possible delay of River Diversion into 2017
 - Repricing received June 30
 - Interface issues with CH0007
 - Overall cost reduction of \$40M from Bid submissions

Actions Since May 19

- Reviewed previous work completed and all tech deviations, execution plans, proposed teams and schedules
- Worked toward closure on all commercial/technical exceptions
- Incorporated option for delayed river closure
- Revised pricing based on new spec for roads & culverts, RCC mix design resp'y, tailrace bridge removal, selection method for 3C material, option for road & jet grouting (Engineering engaged in design efficiencies)
- Developed new evaluation/scoring model
- Revision of all technical and commercial documents (95%)
- Focus on laydown/staging areas. Communication with site and CH0007
- Final evaluation based on revised proposals submitted June 30 & subsequent discussions and clarifications
- Clarified impacts related to interface issues with CH0007 (Area J & Intake Cofferdam area)

Schedule & Execution Plan

	BIDDER 2				BIDDER 3				
	Start	Finish	Calendar Duration (d)	Work Duration (d)	Start	Finish	Calendar Duration (d)	Work Duration (d)	
UPSTREAM COFFERDAM									
Starter Groins	10-Oct-15	24-Nov-15	45	38	14-Sep-15	2-Nov-15	49	49	
Remaining Cofferdam (River Closure)	10-Aug-16	24-Oct-16	75	64	25-Jul-16	31-Oct-16	98	98	
Full Cofferdam Construction Duration			120	102			147	147	
NORTH DAM									
Foundation Preparation	25-Sep-16	18-May-17	235	40	19-Sep-16	30-Oct-16	41	41	
Levelling Concrete	18-May-17	16-Jun-17	29	25	3-Oct-16	30-Oct-16	27	27	
RCC Construction (Critical)	16-Jun-17	9-May-18	327	125	1-May-17	1-Oct-17	153	153	
RCC Construction (Non-Critical)	18-May-18	5-Jun-18	18	15	2-Oct-17	15-Oct-17	13	13	
CVC Ogee	1-Jun-18	10-Aug-18	70	60	28-Aug-17	29-Oct-17	62	62	
SOUTH DAM									
Excavation	1-Apr-16	28-Apr-16	27	24	1-May-17	28-May-17	27	27	
Foundation Preparation	29-Apr-16	10-Jun-16	42	37	29-May-17	30-Jul-17	62	62	
Fill Placement to Completion	11-Jun-16	15-Jun-17	369	77	31-Jul-17	24-Sep-17	55	55	
KEY MILESTONES	•••••••••••••••••••••••		faren era	***************************************			A	feenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenenene	
River Closure (31-Oct-16)		Meets	date with 7 days flo	pat	Meets date with 0 days float				
North Dam Completion (31-Oct-17)	Opportun schedule fo	ities exist (b or critical No	eyond schedule bu orth dam works to b Oct-17	iffers) to enhance e complete by 31-	Meets date with 2 days float				
	Given the p meet the Ri	ressure on o ver Closure	verall schedule fro Milestone in 2016 t	m other areas (CH0 han full completior	007), higher 1 of the Nort	importance h Dam in 201	should be placed u .7	pon the ability to	
EXECUTION AND RISK NOTES									
	Spare capacit	y/float			Spare capacity/float				
	- Weather a	llowance 4d/	mth		- No apparent weather allowance				
	- 6d work w	eek, 10 hr shi	fts		- 7d work week, 10h shifts				
	- Methodolo	ogy provides p	ootential for working	concurrent activities	- Schedule includes concurrent activities				
	- Planned co	onstruction se	eason May to end Oct		- Planned construction season May to December				
	Double lane u for cofferdam	pstream tem construction	porary bridge provide	es adequate capacity	Single lane te delay	mporary brid	ge may introduce traf	fic congestion &	
	Plan to stock	Plan to stockpile materials on starter groins				oile 45,000m3 osequently ret plan	of closure materials i reated from position	n limited space at without adequate	
	RCC Construc	tion utilizing t	ruck placement		RCC construct delay.	tion utilizing c	reter cranes. Potentia	l for breakdown and	
	Proposed flip time to const	bucket & dov ruct North D	vnstream face design am	will further reduce	No design mo for GERCC	odifications pro	oposed. Must meet a	r entrainment spec.	
OVERALL	Believable j	plan and sch	edule, supported b	y solid project tean	Challenging	g work plan a	nd schedule, espe project team	cially for proposed	

Page 11

Bidder 3 Commercial Exception

1.	Civil Works Agreement	Liquidated Damages GC 26	Delete all references to Liquidated Damages throughout the Agreement. Limitation of liability at GC 26.1 (7.5% of Contract Price) shall apply to all delay damages. Response (01/16/2015): This exception is withdrawn but our proposal price would have to increase by \$1.0 M unless the current schedule is de-risked, in which case there would be no additional price increase. Given the opportunity to work in partnership with the client we are confident the schedule can be de-risked. Response (06/30/2015): Response provided on 01/16/2015 above to remain.	It is in our best interest to complete all works on time. However, due to the project conditions – remoteness of site, geotechnical conditions, river flows, interaction with other contractors on-site and union agreements in force we cannot accept liquidated damages for delays and as such our proposal has not accounted for these risks.		
----	--------------------------	--------------------------------	---	---	--	--

Bidder Överview

Barnard / Pennecon JV

Pros

- Solid Project Team, RCC experience in team leadership
- Solid execution plan & schedule
- Schedule float and built-in additional capacity
- Driven by JV member with most experience
- Robust design (facing concrete vs GERCC)
- Previous Muskrat Falls experience
- No impacts related to recent CH0007 interface issues
- Risk/reward scheme proposed on limited profit value
- All staff/mgmt. costs lump sum (\$45M)
- Using 75T trucks (ODJV using 40T trucks)

Cons

- Higher evaluated price (additional mhrs added for RCC, Upstream CD & Tailrace Works)
- Marginally higher extra work rates
- No Cap on craft labor

BPJV Risk Statement

2. RCC Construction Methodology – Air Entrainment

RCC air entrainment is not commonly achieved. It is our understanding that there
may be only one job ever that has achieved air entrainment required by the
Specifications for RCC and GERCC facing. There are no Specifications that require
any special equipment or product requirements; we can only look at this item as
what would normally be required for concrete.

Mitigating Actions:

• Barnard-Pennecon J.V. has only priced facing concrete for the face of the RCC. Airentrained GERCC is not likely achievable and GERCC is more expensive to place in NL than facing concrete.

Bidder Overview (cont'd)

H. J. O'Connell/Dragados JV

Pros

- Lower evaluated price after normalization (BPJV -\$977k to +\$5M)
- Lump Sum (except for apprentices, shadow workers and escorts)
- Highly rated RCC experience
- Previous Muskrat Falls experience
- Limited impacts related to recent CH0007 interface issues (+\$300k)

Cons

- Weak Project Team (Lack of RCC experience of team leadership, weak Project Manager, QA manager unacceptable)
- Key RCC resources appear to be on "ad hoc' basis
- Execution plan not well conceived, adjustments after challenge, remains questionable
- Very tight schedule, no float Critical Path in jeopardy (River Closure)
- Driven by JV member with less experience in RCC construction
- Possible issues with CH0007 interface
- Takes no responsibility for cement/fly ash availability
- GERCC (versus facing concrete) unproven in Canada

Evaluation and Scoring

- New scoring model emphasizing execution and project team
- Elements accepted from previous evaluation plan (Benefits/Labour Relations/Environmental/ Quality/Risk/Safety) – however, proposed team members reviewed by LCP leads

Bid Evaluation – Weighted Scores

		Evaluated Scoring			Final Weighted Scoring		
Description		BPJV	ODJV	Weight	BPJV	VLDO	Notes
1. Commercial							
a) Bid Price							
		toon (
Base Bid		\$287,171,000 \$6,100,000	\$288,148,000 \$0				
Normalized items	Sub-total	\$293 271 000	\$288 148 000				
		<i>\$255,271,000</i>	\$200,140,000				
b) Commercial Items							
Cash Flow		\$0	\$0				
	Sub total	<u> </u>	Śn				
	Sub-total	<u>Ų</u> Ę	γu				
	F						1
	Item Total	\$293,271,000	\$288,148,000	60	57	60	Note 1
2. Technical							N-4- 2
Execution Plan		10	8.5				NOTE 2
Schedule		10	9				
Technical (facing concrete etc)		10	9				
	Item Total	30	26.5	20	20	17.7	Note 3
3. Project Organization & Team Qu	uality	333	229				
	Item Total	333	229	20	20	13.8	Note 4
	Ĩ						1
			Overall Weighted				
			Score	100	97	91.4	
Health & Safety	-	Pass	Pass				Note 5
Quality		Pass	Pass				
Environmental		Pass	Pass				
Risk Management		Fail	Fail				

1. Low Bidder receives 60 points. Second Bidder deducted 3 points for each 5% its evaluated price is above low Bidder.

2. Technical evaluation of ODJV proposal based on its Alternate proposal (includes cost saving methodology). The evaluation of Bidder 2's proposal is based on its Initial bid, then normalized for cost reduction ideas presented by Bidder 2.

3. For final scoring, the higher technically evaluated Bidder receives 20 points, the lower evaluated Bidder receives a percentage of the 20 points based on its score over the higher evaluated score.

4. For final scoring, the higher evaluated Bidder receives 20 points, the lower evaluated Bidder receives a percentage of the 20 points based on its score over the higher evaluated score.

5. The Pass/Fail threshold is 70%. A score of less that 70% (Fail) is not considered a fatal flaw but should be used for guidance purposes in the overall evaluation and, if applicable, in pre-award negotiations.

Recommendation

- Barnard Pennecon JV is recommended by bid evaluation team
- Cost premium range is -\$977k (base) to +\$5M (normalized)
- Defining Factors
 - Schedule Assurance
 - Solid Project Team & Execution Plan
 - Robust Design

Craft Labour Target Price Model

BPJV Target Price Model							
Craft Labour Target Price	\$ 46,462,521	551,878 mhrs @ \$84.19/hr					
7.9% G&A Fixed Fee	\$ 3,670,539	G&A fixed at Craft Labour Target, no adjustment					
8.3% At Risk Fee	\$ 3,856,389	Risk/Reward = 50/50 depleated after 45,800 mhrs					
Total	\$ 53,989,449						

Note: Craft labour target excludes subcontractors approx. 70,000 mhrs

Status of Articles/Commercial

Barnard /Pennecon (65/35JV)

- Performance Security is a 15% letter of credit reducing to 5% for the one year warranty period
- Holdback Release Bond
- No amendments to liability limit wording
- Four remaining minor exceptions to Articles no show stoppers
- Bidder has proposed unit prices/lump sums with target cost for craft labour and 50/50 sharing for cost underrun and over run until profit pool is exhausted
- Craft overhead calculated at 7.9% based on target cost- Fixed Amount
- Craft Profit at risk calculated at 8.3% based on target cost-Fixed Amount
- Scope Changes subject to O/H and profit adjustment up or down
- Price/schedule adjustment for quantity variation (+/- 20%)
- Need to revise Exhibit 2 to reflect target cost model. Other documents need to be finalized to reflect latest price reduction. Minor Adjustments to Measurement and Payment

Status of Articles/Commercial (cont'd)

O'Connell/Dragados (50/50 JV)

- 50% Performance bond that covers the one year warranty period
- Liability cap to Company of 50% of contract price plus project insurance proceeds
- Bidder has proposed unit prices/lump sums (fixed prices)
- No price adjustment for quantity variation, accepted reimbursable mark-ups for changes for related work.
- Bidder has not accepted delivery risk for cement and flyash (neither bidder has been able to complete due diligence for availability because sources have not selected)
- Open items with Articles release of holdback, proposed six year limit on latent defect claims, timeframe for termination due to force majeure event(s) and concern with assignment to Lenders provision (no recourse against LCP for non-payment previous two months)
- Further discussions required to address "neutral cashflow" Measurement and Payment

Next Steps

- Issue LNTP to BPJV immediately
- Finalize all commercial & technical documents
- Initiate discussions & actions on RCC mix design
- Initiate upstream temporary bridge design
- Initiate value engineering workshop
- Assign Package Leader and Contract Administrator

Bidder 2 Identified Risks

- **1. Contract Milestone Interface 12 "Spillway Ready for River Diversion."** This Contract Milestone is an interface point between the existing powerhouse contract and this contract. This milestone represents the start of all critical work for this contract; any delays to this milestone may substantially impact this project, including delayed completion into the next construction season of 2018.
- Mitigating Action:
- There is little we can do to avoid this risk because the milestone is not controlled by our work. If a delay is encountered on this milestone, we may be able to accelerate our work to minimize delay impacts and the potential for additional work seasons. If not, we will need to re-evaluate our schedule and submit any schedule and cost impacts.
- Early notification by Nalcor of the potential for this milestone to slip will allow all parties the opportunity to work together and mitigate the impacts to the project.
- Another interface which is currently not a milestone for our contract is the North Spur Contract. It is our understanding that we cannot raise the water level until that contract has made certain milestones.
- 2. RCC Construction Methodology Air Entrainment. In general, the RCC Technical Specifications control the means and methods of all mixing, delivery and placement requirements. In addition, there are minimum quality control requirements required. Should the means and methods specified not result in meeting the quality control requirements, we would have a problem.
- RCC air entrainment is not commonly achieved. It is our understanding that there may be only one job ever that has achieved air entrainment required by the Specifications for RCC and GERCC facing. There are no Specifications that require any special equipment or product requirements; we can only look at this item as what would normally be required for concrete.
- Since there are no mix designs or Specifications for the admixtures, we have included only an ARA and WRA typical for concrete.
- - Mitigating Actions:
- Barnard-Pennecon J.V. has only priced facing concrete for the face of the RCC. Air-entrained GERCC is not likely achievable and GERCC is more expensive to place in NL than facing concrete.
- Barnard-Pennecon J.V. has priced the specified means and methods required; however, we cannot guarantee these means and methods will yield the desired quality requirements.
- Barnard-Pennecon J.V. has only included the RCC test sections required by the Contract; any additional testing required to achieve air-entrained RCC would be considered extra work.
- 3. Impacts to Schedule due to Labour Productivity. Extended schedule impacts due to poor labour productivity is a large risk for us.
- Mitigating Actions:
- Barnard-Pennecon J.V. will need to observe progress and schedule from the outset and adjust labour requirements early if it appears work rules or productivity are an issue in meeting the scheduled milestones or Barnard-Pennecon J.V.'s project schedule.
- 4. Bridge removal before the North Dam work is complete. Barnard-Pennecon J.V. has provided a unique solution that allows for watering up the powerhouse while work is still being finalized. We will need access to the North Dam until all of the CVC is complete and finished. If this plan is not accepted, it will have a significant cost and schedule impact.
 - Mitigating Actions:
- Barnard-Pennecon has provided a modified bridge access plan that allows for the removal of a portion of the powerhouse upstream cofferdam that provided water for wet testing. We have included this plan in our Execution Plan.
- ٠
- **5.** Abnormal Weather (May 1 to November 1). Barnard-Pennecon J.V. has a lot of work planned in the favorable months between May 1 and November 1 in each of the three years while onsite. We have not included winter protection or do not anticipate that winter work will be possible and still meet the requirements of the technical Specifications.
- Mitigating Actions:
- Should hot weather prevent the placement of RCC in the summer months, we will look to reduce our placing time to nighttime hours. We would also challenge the Engineer on the strict
 placement temperature requirements of the RCC.

Bidder 3 Identified Risks

- Water diversion/ Environmental
- *High flow due to late Spring Freshette would delay river diversion.*
- The potential for environmental damage to the Lower Churchill River water system should a slide occur) while we are on site.
- •
- Coordination and Interface with ongoing projects:
- Delays in spillway construction, powerhouse construction and the North Spur stabilization could delay river diversion.
- Delivery of Critical Materials
- The project is located in a remote area where access is limited and can be affected by events such as forest fires which could case delivery delays beyond what has been accounted for in the Proposal.
- Camp Space
- The camp is of a limited capacity and additional capacity in the local area is extremely limited. Should the camp allotment not be available, this could cause delays to the work.
- Labour Unrest
- The Joint Venture will follow the Labour Agreement for the site. However, if there are concessions that we are unaware of that causes labour unrest, this could cause lower productivities and hence delays to the Project Delivery.

Bidder 3 Identified Risks (cont'd)

Mitigation

Water diversion/ Environmental

The Bidder will be ready to start the river closure one month in advance to the expected date when the flow is appropriate. This will ensure we can take advantage of an early start. Should the flows delay the works and prevent placement of RCC in 2016, our placement method can achieve the work in 2017.

Coordination and Interface with ongoing projects

Identify and mitigate any possible interference with other contractors and coordinate regular meetings

Delivery of Critical Items

The Bidder will have an alternate route identified that will help minimize delays.

Camp Space

The Bidder will maintain a database of local accommodations.

The Bidder will remove staff from the camp and house them in the local area by providing a monthly allowance. This would be reimbursable by the Company.

Labour Unrest

The Bidder has strong relationships with the local unions. We also have experienced Labour Relations personnel. We will utilize the strengths of these relationships and these personnel to minimize or prevent labour unrest.

Initial Evaluation Summary (Dec 2014)

	5				
Reviews;					
	Bidder 1	Bidder 2 (Excl. Direct Labour Risk)	Bidder 3 (Excl. RCC Conv.	Bidder 3.1 (Incl. RCC Conv.)	
Fechnical	56.64	66.56	70.88	73.68	
Safety	Fail	Pass	Pass	Pass	
Quality	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	
Risk Management	Fail	Fail	Fail	Fail	
Environmental	Pass	Pass	Pass	Pass	
Commercial	61.14	68.65	57.38	57.38	
Price	3	2	less than 1	1	
Normalized	3	1	less than 1	2	
Comments					
All Bids exceed budge	et estimate.				
Bidder 1 price + norm	alizing + reviews =	do not consider as price is too high and	d Bidder has failed Safety F	eview.	
Bidder 2 price + norm accepts Risk for Staff.	alizing + reviews =	consider but has highest risk due to ex	clusion of Direct Labour Ri	sk and subsequent impact on Equipment (extension of time cla	aim). Bidder
			usion of Labour Pick		

- RPL 1
 - 15-Dec-2014

Initial Evaluation (Dec 2014)

Lower Churchill Project					
Muskra	t Falls Hydroelectric Development				
	CH0009	MAIN SUMMARY	NORMALISED		
CONSTRUCTIO	ON OF NORTH AND SOUTH DAMS			SUMMARY	
			Bid not complete		
Item No.	Description	Bidder 1	Bidder 2	Bidder 3 Base	Bidder 3 Alternative
	TOTAL Contract Price (C/F from Appendix A2.1 Schedule of Price Breakdown)	394,380,086	323,488,544	340,368,175	308,701,420
	NORMALISATION				
1	Deviations not identified by Bidder	4,759,660	25,505,190	5,569,231	5,569,231
2	Exceptions by Bidder (none priced by Bidder)	incl. above	incl. above	incl. above	incl. above
3	Other (Define)	incl. above	incl. above	incl. above	incl. above
	TOTAL ESTIMATED VALUE AFTER NORMALISATION	399,139,746	348,993,734	345,937,406	314,270,651
	Adjustment to Low Bid	7	8.5	9	10
	Conditioned Contract Price	570,199,638	410,580,863	384,374,895	314,270,651
	Commercial Weighting	61.14	68.65	57.38	57.38
	Final Conditioned Contract Price	932,689,356	598,078,460	669,934,458	547,748,410
	Technical Weighting	56.64	66.56	73.68	73.68
	FINAL ESTIMATED CONTRACT VALUE	1,646,697,309	898,555,379	909,248,721	743,415,324
	% of low bio	299	% 13%	10%	
	Health & Safety *	Fail	Pass		Pass
	Quality*	Pass	Pass		Pass
	Risk Management*	Fail	Fail		Fail
	Environmental*	Pass	Pass		Pass
	* Pass/Fail Threshold is 70%	a fatal flaw but shall be used f	or guidanco nurnesse		
	A score of less than 70% is not considered a	a ratal haw but shall be used t	or guidance purposes		
	in the overall Proposal evaluation.				

BPJV Mhrs Normalization & Cost Impact

ltem	BPJV Proposed Mhrs.	Nalcor Estimated Mhrs.	Normalized Mhrs.	Variance	Remarks
cofferdam	45,705	68,000	68,000	22,295	Use Nalcor estimate
th Dam	272,300	248,400	342,300	70,000	see Note 1
race	20,000	41,000	41000	21,000	Use Nalcor estimate
Total Mhrs	338,005	357,400	451,300	113,295	

1 As Nalcor estimate based on productivity of 1, must make adjustment for recognized productivity norms at MF

a) Reduce productivity to .5 from estimate on concrete and formwork based on performance of CH0007 106,000 man-hrs. -> 159,000 mhrs: Variance = 53,000 mhrs

b) Reduce productivity to .75 for RCC placement 58,000 mhrs. -> 72,500 mhrs: Variance =15,000 mhrs.

Total: 53,000 + 15,000.....say 70,000 mhrs

\$

2 Cost Impact: 113,295 Mhrs * \$88 = \$9,969,960 Risk Reduction = \$3,856,000 Additional Mhrs Cost = \$6,113,960......say \$6,100,000

Page 28

Price / Mhr Summary

			Total Price					Hours			
Cost Comparison	Bidder 2 (Bid)	Bidder 3 (Alt) (Bid)	Bidder 2 (Jul 7)	Bidder 3 (Jul 7)	Estimate	Bidder 2 (Bid)	Bidder 3 (Alt) (Bid)	Bidder 2 (Rebid)	Bidder 2 (Jul 7)	Bidder 3 (Jul 7)	Estimate
Indirects	177,353,611	108,541,650	162,106,689	97,540,000	68,240,172	484,914	523,579	459,652	-	449,425	428,722
General	6,781,250	7,632,160	5,913,470	6,085,875	6,158,218	13,969	32,027	13,798	-	27,841	27,076
Temp Bridge	10,425,000	6,773,000	10,275,000	6,615,000	8,085,000	14,950	19,300	16,250	-	19,297	32,941
Upstream cofferdam	23,318,855	26,810,940	16,282,950	19,320,130	19,678,051	46,163	90,046	45,705	-	78,291	85,196
Downstream cofferdam	846,577	984,125	757,300	930,100	594,833	2,793	4,459	3,254	-	4,624	2,999
Intake Channel Cofferdam	1,346,467	2,069,710	1,347,300	1,958,600	983,361	4,463	8,386	5,279	-	8,696	4,743
South Dam	9,851,010	11,429,660	9,516,260	10,559,660	6,580,254	24,112	46,482	29,461	-	47,184	30,722
North Dam	85,668,660	132,820,940	93,764,230	133,944,560	81,434,623	269,468	587,856	272,303	-	599,580	248,376
Tailrace Work	6,838,893	11,423,603	6,843,210	10,768,975	9,551,543	18,374	37,256	19,991	-	37,254	41,006
Optional	238,616	213,720	7,314,282	6,986,525	154,770	362	394	4,574	-	17,657	659
ADJ	(18,020,800)	(3,870,800)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-		-
ADJBid2 (1)	-	-	(23,826,584)	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
ADJBid3	-	-	-	125,000	-	-	-	-	-		-
Craft travel			4,191,689								
Totals w/o Optional	304,409,521	304,614,988	287,171,514	287,847,900	201,306,057	879,206	1,349,391	865,693		1,272,192	901,782
Totals	304,648,137	304,828,708	294,485,796	294,834,425	201,460,827	879,568	1,349,785	870,266	-	1,289,849	902,441