

From: [David Steele](#)
To: [Paul Hickey](#); [Michael Kennedy](#); [Damian Joy](#)
Subject: OC feedback
Date: Friday, August 18, 2017 11:08:08 AM

Hello,

I met with Charles. The oversight committee meeting went well yesterday and they are appreciative of the report we've produced. Specific acknowledgement was made of the quality.

They had one substantive comment. Rather it was an outright disagreement which had OC consensus yesterday. Recommendation number 5 is not what they expected nor are they comfortable with.

- They feel that there should not be complete alignment with the oversight committee and Nalcors Board, and Nalcors Board has a broader mandate.
- The oversight committee was established to address a gap. They feel it's a necessary overlap at times and provides a positive Fail-Safe with belts and suspenders.
- They did not like the associated language on page 27 that spoke negatively in terms of their being a duplication and overlap.
- They feel that there should be alignment and how the board and the oversight committee report to government but a challenge against mandate alignment is unnecessarily negative and not where they expected our team to go.
- Charles did like the wording in paragraph 5.46. He felt that was dead on.

There was a minor editorial comment four section 5.34. Minister of energy should be replaced with minister of Natural Resources. The ministry of natural resources does not report to the House of Assembly.

That's it.

Dave