

**From:** desmondtranquilla@lowerchurchillproject.ca  
**To:** [scottobrien@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:scottobrien@lowerchurchillproject.ca)  
**Cc:** [robertwoolgar@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:robertwoolgar@lowerchurchillproject.ca); [mikecollins@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:mikecollins@lowerchurchillproject.ca); [davehealey@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:davehealey@lowerchurchillproject.ca); [tedvanwyk@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:tedvanwyk@lowerchurchillproject.ca); [billknox@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:billknox@lowerchurchillproject.ca); [pauloblender@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:pauloblender@lowerchurchillproject.ca); [neilferguson@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:neilferguson@lowerchurchillproject.ca)  
**Date:** Sunday, April 6, 2014 2:02:28 PM

---

Just a couple of observations made over the last two days:

a.) In conversation with Guido V. yesterday on the need for Astaldi to take ownership of inbound freight and the notion that Astaldi needs to have resources in place to manage such was met with the statement that this "may require a change order as it was not previously expected". This conversation came in light of the fact that Kevin Miller and our safety department had to clearly communicate the guidelines to all contractors including Astaldi that they have to ensure that all people allowed on site need to be managed safely. This distribution came after an incident that Astaldi experienced where a truck driver was noted on top of a load of structural steel well in excess of 6 feet. There have been numerous safety incidents with Astaldi subs and suppliers. This statement, specific to the freight incident, does not reflect the intention of Astaldi as defined in their Project Execution Plan Section D.2 which clearly states that Astaldi will have a local logistics team to manage.

b.) During a 4pm close-out meeting with Astaldi Vittorio R. stated that Astaldi did not expect to have to place forms against an uneven surface and that this will require a change order to cover the additional resources required to construct.

I believe that the theme discovered in the monthly report will resurface again and again. I think it is important for our team to assist but be very careful as not to direct. There is an obvious "change order" and/or substantiate "claim" theme developing amongst their leadership.

I believe we need to professionally challenge some of the topics of the monthly report even though it is retracted, your thoughts? We could list them as topics to be discussed without referencing the report???

**Desmond Tranquilla**  
**Muskrat Falls Site Management**  
**PROJECT DELIVERY TEAM**  
**Lower Churchill Project**  
t. 709 899 4395 c. 709 896 1332  
e. [DesmondTranquilla@lowerchurchillproject.ca](mailto:DesmondTranquilla@lowerchurchillproject.ca)  
w. [muskratfalls.nalcorenergy.com](http://muskratfalls.nalcorenergy.com)

This email and any files transmitted with it are confidential and intended solely for the use of the individual or entity to whom they are addressed. If you have received this email in error please notify the system manager. Please note that any views or opinions presented in this email are solely those of the author and do not

necessarily represent those of the company. Finally, the recipient should check this email and any attachments for the presence of viruses. The company accepts no liability for any damage caused by any virus transmitted by this email.