



## Rating Action: Moody's downgrades Newfoundland and Labrador's ratings to A1, outlook changed to stable

24 Jul 2019

Toronto, July 24, 2019 -- Moody's Investors Service today downgraded to A1 from Aa3 the long-term senior unsecured debt ratings of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador. The outlook was changed to stable from negative. Concurrently, Moody's lowered the province's baseline credit assessment (BCA) to a3 from a2.

### Downgrades:

..Issuer: Newfoundland and Labrador, Province of

....Senior Unsecured Regular Bond/Debenture, Downgraded to A1 from Aa3

....Underlying Senior Unsecured Regular Bond/Debenture, Downgraded to A1 from Aa3

....Senior Unsecured Shelf (Foreign Currency), Downgraded to (P)A1 from (P)Aa3

### Outlook Actions:

..Issuer: Newfoundland and Labrador, Province of

....Outlook, Changed to Stable from Negative

### RATINGS RATIONALE

The downgrade of the BCA to a3 and long-term debt ratings to A1 reflects Newfoundland and Labrador's elevated debt and interest burdens and continued expectation of material consolidated deficits over the next 2 years. The downgrade also reflects heightened credit risk stemming from the large debt level and weak financial metrics of Nalcor, the province's wholly owned utility, which raises the likelihood the province will need to provide financial support to, or assume debt service from, Nalcor.

Moody's expects that the province's debt burden, expressed as net direct and indirect debt as a share of revenue, will continue to rise in the near-term, peaking at 257% of revenue in 2021 before stabilising around 250% by 2022, up from the expected 227% as of March 31, 2019. This level is elevated among Canadian and international peers and is higher than previously forecasted by Moody's.

The increase in debt burden corresponds to continued financing requirements stemming from ongoing consolidated deficits, which are expected to deteriorate in 2019/20 and 2020/21 before returning to an improving trend. Consolidated deficits are now expected to average 7.3% of revenue before the province's planned return to balanced budgets in 2022/23, higher than previously forecasted. Moody's notes that the province's budget path relies on expenditures falling 7.3% between 2019/20 and 2022/23, which is a highly ambitious target for a Canadian province. Although Moody's notes that the province has been able to keep expense growth tight at 0.8% annually since entering the current period of consecutive deficits in 2012/13, it has not succeeded in achieving two consecutive years of annual expense declines.

Newfoundland and Labrador records the highest interest expense among Canadian provinces. As of March 31, 2019 this metric measured 12.8% of revenue and Moody's expects this to rise to 14.9% by 2022, which will add to budgetary pressure.

The province faces higher levels of volatility surrounding revenues relative to other provinces, given material levels of revenues stemming from specific offshore oil projects. This volatility hampers long-term planning relative to other Canadian provinces and is an intrinsic credit negative of the province.

Finally, the A1 rating reflects Moody's revised assessment of the risk stemming from Nalcor, which accounts for over one-third of the province's total direct and indirect debt but which Moody's currently excludes from the province's net direct and indirect debt measure. Moody's notes that the financial health of Nalcor is weak following the construction of the CAD12.7 billion Muskrat Falls hydroelectric dam project and will remain weak over the medium-term due to a forecast of low revenue growth. In addition to the possibility that the province may have to inject equity into Nalcor or assume some debt to restore its financial metrics, Moody's also takes into consideration that rate mitigation efforts, proposed by the province to ensure hydro rates remain relatively affordable despite the high cost of Muskrat Falls, may require direct provincial support. Moody's notes that the province has a detailed plan that could result in rate mitigation without provincial intervention; however, this plan fails to alleviate Moody's concerns over Nalcor's financial health nor impact on the economy as these measures are not yet implemented nor have they been proven to be sufficient. Should rate mitigation efforts not succeed, either the province would have to offer support to Nalcor or electricity rates would need to rise which would hinder economic activity across the province. Both of these outcomes would be credit negative.

The A1 rating takes into consideration the a3 BCA assigned to the province, along with a high level of extraordinary support from the Government of Canada (Aaa stable).

### RATIONALE FOR THE STABLE OUTLOOK

The stable outlook on Newfoundland and Labrador's rating reflects Moody's forecast that although the province is expected to continue to post consolidated deficits which will require debt accumulation, the pace of this will moderate and result in a stabilization of the province's debt burden over Moody's forecast horizon. Similarly, with a slowing of debt issuance, the province's interest expense will also stabilize at levels that remain consistent with the rating. The outlook also reflects that the liability of Nalcor will not materially deteriorate relative to current forecasts over the next 2 years.

#### WHAT COULD CHANGE THE RATINGS UP/DOWN

Upward pressure could arise if Newfoundland and Labrador's debt burden (expressed as net direct and indirect debt relative to revenue) were to decline to below 230% and interest expense remain below 14%. Upward pressure could also arise if Moody's were to determine that the risks surrounding the province's likely support of Nalcor were to be reduced, either through proven success of rate mitigation efforts without requiring provincial support or through improved financial metrics of Nalcor.

Downward pressure could arise if Newfoundland and Labrador's debt burden were to face continued material increases beyond Moody's forecasts or if the province's fiscal metrics were to materially weaken, reflected in a failure to meet budget targets. Downward pressure could also arise if the financial health of Nalcor were to deteriorate and/or additional financial support from the province were to be required, either directly to Nalcor or indirectly through taxpayer-supported assistance on electricity rates.

The principal methodology used in these ratings was Regional and Local Governments published in January 2018. Please see the Rating Methodologies page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for a copy of this methodology.

#### REGULATORY DISCLOSURES

For ratings issued on a program, series or category/class of debt, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to each rating of a subsequently issued bond or note of the same series or category/class of debt or pursuant to a program for which the ratings are derived exclusively from existing ratings in accordance with Moody's rating practices. For ratings issued on a support provider, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the credit rating action on the support provider and in relation to each particular credit rating action for securities that derive their credit ratings from the support provider's credit rating. For provisional ratings, this announcement provides certain regulatory disclosures in relation to the provisional rating assigned, and in relation to a definitive rating that may be assigned subsequent to the final issuance of the debt, in each case where the transaction structure and terms have not changed prior to the assignment of the definitive rating in a manner that would have affected the rating. For further information please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page for the respective issuer on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com).

For any affected securities or rated entities receiving direct credit support from the primary entity(ies) of this credit rating action, and whose ratings may change as a result of this credit rating action, the associated regulatory disclosures will be those of the guarantor entity. Exceptions to this approach exist for the following disclosures, if applicable to jurisdiction: Ancillary Services, Disclosure to rated entity, Disclosure from rated entity.

The ratings have been disclosed to the rated entity or its designated agent(s) and issued with no amendment resulting from that disclosure.

Regulatory disclosures contained in this press release apply to the credit rating and, if applicable, the related rating outlook or rating review.

Please see [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for any updates on changes to the lead rating analyst and to the Moody's legal entity that has issued the rating.

Please see the ratings tab on the issuer/entity page on [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) for additional regulatory disclosures for each credit rating.

Michael Yake  
VP - Sr Credit Officer/Manager  
Sub-Sovereign Group  
Moody's Canada Inc.  
70 York Street  
Suite 1400  
Toronto, ON M5J 1S9  
Canada  
JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376  
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653

David Rubinoff  
MD - Sub Sovereigns  
Sub-Sovereign Group  
JOURNALISTS: 44 20 7772 5456  
Client Service: 44 20 7772 5454

Releasing Office:  
Moody's Canada Inc.  
70 York Street  
Suite 1400  
Toronto, ON M5J 1S9  
Canada

JOURNALISTS: 1 212 553 0376  
Client Service: 1 212 553 1653



© 2019 Moody's Corporation, Moody's Investors Service, Inc., Moody's Analytics, Inc. and/or their licensors and affiliates (collectively, "MOODY'S"). All rights reserved.

CREDIT RATINGS ISSUED BY MOODY'S INVESTORS SERVICE, INC. AND ITS RATINGS AFFILIATES ("MIS") ARE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES, AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY INCLUDE MOODY'S CURRENT OPINIONS OF THE RELATIVE FUTURE CREDIT RISK OF ENTITIES, CREDIT COMMITMENTS, OR DEBT OR DEBT-LIKE SECURITIES. MOODY'S DEFINES CREDIT RISK AS THE RISK THAT AN ENTITY MAY NOT MEET ITS CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS AS THEY COME DUE AND ANY ESTIMATED FINANCIAL LOSS IN THE EVENT OF DEFAULT OR IMPAIRMENT. SEE MOODY'S RATING SYMBOLS AND DEFINITIONS PUBLICATION FOR INFORMATION ON THE TYPES OF CONTRACTUAL FINANCIAL OBLIGATIONS ADDRESSED BY MOODY'S RATINGS. CREDIT RATINGS DO NOT ADDRESS ANY OTHER RISK, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO: LIQUIDITY RISK, MARKET VALUE RISK, OR PRICE VOLATILITY. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S OPINIONS INCLUDED IN MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT STATEMENTS OF CURRENT OR HISTORICAL FACT. MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS MAY ALSO INCLUDE QUANTITATIVE MODEL-BASED ESTIMATES OF CREDIT RISK AND RELATED OPINIONS OR COMMENTARY PUBLISHED BY MOODY'S ANALYTICS, INC. CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS DO NOT CONSTITUTE OR PROVIDE INVESTMENT OR FINANCIAL ADVICE, AND CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT AND DO NOT PROVIDE RECOMMENDATIONS TO PURCHASE, SELL, OR HOLD PARTICULAR SECURITIES. NEITHER CREDIT RATINGS NOR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS COMMENT ON THE SUITABILITY OF AN INVESTMENT FOR ANY PARTICULAR INVESTOR. MOODY'S ISSUES ITS CREDIT RATINGS AND PUBLISHES MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WITH THE EXPECTATION AND UNDERSTANDING THAT EACH INVESTOR WILL, WITH DUE CARE, MAKE ITS OWN STUDY AND EVALUATION OF EACH SECURITY THAT IS UNDER CONSIDERATION FOR PURCHASE, HOLDING, OR SALE.

MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY RETAIL INVESTORS AND IT WOULD BE RECKLESS AND INAPPROPRIATE FOR RETAIL INVESTORS TO USE MOODY'S CREDIT RATINGS OR MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS WHEN MAKING AN INVESTMENT DECISION. IF IN DOUBT YOU SHOULD CONTACT YOUR FINANCIAL OR OTHER PROFESSIONAL ADVISER.

ALL INFORMATION CONTAINED HEREIN IS PROTECTED BY LAW, INCLUDING BUT NOT LIMITED TO, COPYRIGHT LAW, AND NONE OF SUCH INFORMATION MAY BE COPIED OR OTHERWISE REPRODUCED, REPACKAGED, FURTHER TRANSMITTED, TRANSFERRED, DISSEMINATED, REDISTRIBUTED OR RESOLD, OR STORED FOR SUBSEQUENT USE FOR ANY SUCH PURPOSE, IN WHOLE OR IN PART, IN ANY FORM OR MANNER OR BY ANY MEANS WHATSOEVER, BY ANY PERSON WITHOUT MOODY'S PRIOR WRITTEN CONSENT.

CREDIT RATINGS AND MOODY'S PUBLICATIONS ARE NOT INTENDED FOR USE BY ANY PERSON AS A BENCHMARK AS THAT TERM IS DEFINED FOR REGULATORY PURPOSES AND MUST NOT BE USED IN ANY WAY THAT COULD RESULT IN THEM BEING CONSIDERED A BENCHMARK.

All information contained herein is obtained by MOODY'S from sources believed by it to be accurate and reliable. Because of the possibility of human or mechanical error as well as other factors, however, all information contained herein is provided "AS IS" without warranty of any kind. MOODY'S adopts all necessary measures so that the information it uses in assigning a credit rating is of sufficient quality and from sources MOODY'S considers to be reliable including, when appropriate, independent third-party sources. However, MOODY'S is not an auditor and cannot in every instance independently verify or validate information received in the rating process or in preparing the Moody's publications.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability to any person or entity for any indirect, special, consequential, or incidental losses or damages whatsoever arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information, even if MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers is advised in advance of the possibility of such losses or damages, including but not limited to: (a) any loss of present or prospective profits or (b) any loss or damage arising where the relevant financial instrument is not the subject of a particular credit rating assigned by MOODY'S.

To the extent permitted by law, MOODY'S and its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors and suppliers disclaim liability for any direct or compensatory losses or damages caused to any person or entity, including but not limited to by any negligence (but excluding fraud, willful misconduct or any other type of liability that, for the avoidance of doubt, by law cannot be excluded) on the part of, or any contingency within or beyond the control of, MOODY'S or any of its directors, officers, employees, agents, representatives, licensors or suppliers, arising from or in connection with the information contained herein or the use of or inability to use any such information.

NO WARRANTY, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, AS TO THE ACCURACY, TIMELINESS, COMPLETENESS, MERCHANTABILITY OR FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE OF ANY CREDIT RATING OR OTHER OPINION OR INFORMATION IS GIVEN OR MADE BY MOODY'S IN ANY FORM OR MANNER WHATSOEVER.

Moody's Investors Service, Inc., a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Corporation ("MCO"), hereby discloses that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by Moody's Investors Service, Inc. have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to Moody's Investors Service, Inc. for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from \$1,000 to approximately \$2,700,000. MCO and MIS also maintain policies and procedures to address the independence of MIS's ratings and rating processes. Information regarding certain affiliations that may exist between directors of MCO and rated entities, and between entities who hold ratings from MIS and have also publicly reported to the SEC an ownership interest in MCO of more than 5%, is posted annually at [www.moodys.com](http://www.moodys.com) under the heading "Investor Relations — Corporate Governance — Director and Shareholder Affiliation Policy."

Additional terms for Australia only: Any publication into Australia of this document is pursuant to the Australian Financial Services License of MOODY'S affiliate, Moody's Investors Service Pty Limited ABN 61 003 399 657 AFSL 336969 and/or Moody's Analytics Australia Pty Ltd ABN 94 105 136 972 AFSL 383569 (as applicable). This document is intended to be provided only to "wholesale clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. By continuing to access this document from within Australia, you represent to MOODY'S that you are, or are accessing the document as a representative of, a "wholesale client" and that neither you nor the entity you represent will directly or indirectly disseminate this document or its contents to "retail clients" within the meaning of section 761G of the Corporations Act 2001. MOODY'S credit rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a debt obligation of the issuer, not on the equity securities of the issuer or any form of security that is available to retail investors.

Additional terms for Japan only: Moody's Japan K.K. ("MJKK") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of Moody's Group Japan G.K., which is wholly-owned by Moody's Overseas Holdings Inc., a wholly-owned subsidiary of MCO. Moody's SF Japan K.K. ("MSFJ") is a wholly-owned credit rating agency subsidiary of MJKK. MSFJ is not a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization ("NRSRO"). Therefore, credit ratings assigned by MSFJ are Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings. Non-NRSRO Credit Ratings are assigned by an entity that is not a NRSRO and, consequently, the rated obligation will not qualify for certain types of treatment under U.S. laws. MJKK and MSFJ are credit rating agencies registered with the Japan Financial Services Agency and their registration numbers are FSA Commissioner (Ratings) No. 2 and 3 respectively.

MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) hereby disclose that most issuers of debt securities (including corporate and municipal bonds, debentures, notes and commercial paper) and preferred stock rated by MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) have, prior to assignment of any rating, agreed to pay to MJKK or MSFJ (as applicable) for ratings opinions and services rendered by it fees ranging from JPY125,000 to approximately JPY250,000,000.

MJKK and MSFJ also maintain policies and procedures to address Japanese regulatory requirements.