## TO THE COMMISSION OF INQUIRY RESPECTING THE REPORT OF A.J. GOULDING

\_\_\_\_\_

- 1. This submission filed on behalf of the Consumer Advocate relates to the evidence of Mr. A. J. Goulding and the Commissioner's request that certain parties provide comments regarding Mr. Goulding's report. Specifically, the Commissioner asked the Consumer Advocate to provide comments as follows:
  - (1) Does NL's regulatory system adequately cover sales to ratepayers? and,
  - (2) The Effectiveness of the current electricity pricing models.
- 2. The *Public Utilities Act*, RSNL 1990 c. P-47 (the "*Public Utilities Act*") requires revision. The processes for setting rates after approving rate of return and capital expenditures are challenging for the Public Utilities Board (the "**PUB**") in the post-Muskrat Falls era. This is especially so given the various exemption orders imposed on the PUB which curtail the jurisdiction of the PUB over the Muskrat Falls Project.
- 3. The traditional tests established in regulatory economics and utilized by the PUB based on the concepts of just, reasonable, non-discriminatory and lowest possible rates consistent with reliable service are difficult to apply following the Muskrat Falls Project. The *Public Utilities Act* will require serious revisions to adapt to the changing circumstances. Furthermore, the current model employed for setting rates is no longer valid and various new models will be required.

CIMFP Exhibit P-04556

Page 2

4. In particular, the cost of service modeling as currently employed by the PUB can no longer be

transparently applied because the PUB has no jurisdiction to examine construction costs related to the

Muskrat Falls Project to verify which costs should be borne by the ratepayers. Capital cost applications

currently utilitized by the two utilities which are subject to PUB jurisdiction will also require revision

because duplication in services by these two utilities can no longer be tolerated following Muskrat Falls.

Every avenue must now be explored to merge systems in order to ensure the most efficient system

possible. New legislation should be introduced following reviews of these issues.

5. Currently, there is a reference to the PUB pertaining to Rate Mitigations and Options Impacts (the

"Mitigation Reference"). The PUB will be holding hearings and two independent agencies, Synapse

and Liberty, have been studying all cost-related issues, including duplications. A. J. Goulding's Report

also deals with some issues of relevance to the Mitigation Reference.

6. In short, it is the position of the Consumer Advocate that the *Public Utilities Act* will require a major

overhaul, as will the Electrical Power Control Act, SNL 1994, c. E-5.1. In the result, the Consumer

Advocate requests that the Commissioner make such a recommendation as this will be timely.

7. Finally, the *Public Utilities Act* has served the people of the Province of Newfoundland and Labrador

well since Confederation as it has ensured low cost electricity and stable rates. Unfortunately, in the

wake of Muskrat Falls, the Public Utilities Act and accompanying legislation has largely been deemed

irrelevant, to the detriment of the ratepayer.

ALL OF WHICH IS RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED ON THE 14th DAY OF AUGUST, 2019

John Hogan

Wadden Peddigrew Hogan Law

Counsel for the Consumer Advocate